(Austin Publishing Group

# **Research Article**

# Our Initial Experience of Circumcision using Monopolar Diathermy

Khan MK\*, Amirzadah P and Mohayuddin N Department of Urology, Rehman Medical Institute Peshawar, Pakistan

\*Corresponding author: Kamran Khan, Department of Urology, Rehman Medical Institute Peshwar, Hayatabad Phase V, Peshwar, Pakistan

Received: April 12, 2016; Accepted: April 26, 2016; Published: April 28, 2016

### Abstract

**Objectives:** To evaluate the safety and efficacy of monopolar diathermy in performing circumcision.

**Materials and Methods:** 100 patients underwent non-neonatal circumcisions using monopolar diathermy at Rehman medical institute Peshawar, Pakistan since june 2015 to January 2016 were included in the study. Our exclusion criteria were neonatal circumcision, circumcision using bipolar diathermy and patients with bleeding diathesis.

**Results:** We Identified 100 patients who met inclusion criteria. Mean Patient age was 10 months (range 6- 60 months), mean operative time was seven minutes (range 5 - 15 minutes). Eighty seven patients (87%) underwent primary circumcision for religious and cultural reasons where as on thirteen patients (13%) circumcision revisions were performed for cosmetic reasons. Two patients (2%) presented to the emergency department with minor bleeding. All patients had good cosmetic outcomes on follow up visit. No patients were found to have skin necrosis, glans necrosis and/or urethral injury.

**Conclusion:** Circumcision using monopolar diathermy, if used judiciously, is a safe, simple and efficient surgical operation.

Keywords: Circumcision; Monopolar diathermy

## Introduction

Circumcision is the most common operation performed on males, with approximately 25–33% men undergoing this operation around the world [1,2]. Most circumcisions are performed for religious not medical reasons [3]. Circumcision reduces the risk of UTI in children, occurrence of penile cancer and also plays an important role in the prevention of Sexually Transmitted Diseases (STDs) including HIV infections [4].

The median frequency of circumcision complications is 1.5% (range 0–16%) [2,5]. Most of these are relatively minor(e.g. bleeding, infection etc.) but major complications include glanular necrosis, glans and penile amputation, urethral fistula and preputial fusion defect can also occur [6]. Major loss of penile shaft skin has been rarely reported in the literature and when it occurs is usually due to the injudicious use of monopolar diathermy, infection and poor surgical technique [7]. The most common postoperative complication is bleeding with a reported incidence of 0.1-35% [8]. Of such cases, 0.5% require a return to theatre [9].

Electro surgery can be used safely and effectively for routine penile procedures, providing a bloodless operative field with good cosmetic results [10]. Monopolar diathermy is usually avoided in penile surgeries including circumcision due to fear of high complication rate including penile glans necrosis and excessive penile skin loss but we did not observe any such complications in our patients. Monopolar diathermy provides blood less operative field if cutting current is used for incision instead of knife and also it is time effective as it spares the time used in coagulation with bipolar diathermy. In this study we evaluated the use of monopolar diathermy in performing circumcisions and found that Monopolar diathermy is very safe and effective if used judiously.

## **Materials and Methods**

We prospectively collected data on 100 patients who underwent circumcision using monopolar diathermy at Rehman Medical Institute (RMI), Peshawar, Pakistan. Our exclusion criteria was Neonatal circumcision, circumcision using bipolar diathermy, clamp circumcision and patient with bleeding disorders. All patients who underwent Circumcision and /or circumcision revision using monopolar diathermy were included in the study.

All Patients had there coagulation profile performed on outpatient basis. All circumcisions were performed by a fellowship trained urologist under general anesthesia.

#### Technique

Under general anesthesia prepuce is retracted and cleaned of magma. Patient is prepped with pyodine solution and draped. A holding stitch to the Glans penis is performed. Outer and inner prepuce are marked with skin marker pen at appropriate site. First inner prepuce is incised at the marked site all around the penis using monopolar diathermy cutting current. Our usual diathermy settings are 10/10 cutting/coagulation. Then outer prepuce is incised using cutting current at the marked site all around the penis. Prepuce is incised in the midline dorsally between the two incisions and removed from the penile shaft using diathermy. Hemostasis is secured using coagulation current. 4 stitches at 6,12,3 and 9 o'clock are performed.

Citation: Khan MK, Amirzadah P and Mohayuddin N. Our Initial Experience of Circumcision using Monopolar Diathermy. Austin J Urol. 2016; 3(2): 1043. Patient is discharged home same day when he is able to tolerate orally. No systemicantibiotics used either preoperatively or postoperatively.

Mothers were instructed to apply Vaseline to the circumcision site with each diaper change. Postoperative pain was controlled with alternate oralparacetamol and Ibuprofen in all patients.

All patients were followed at 4 weeks in the outpatient department for cosmetic out comes as well as data collected about any emergency visit regarding circumcision complications.

## **Results**

We Identified 100 patients who met inclusion criteria. Mean Patient age was 10 months (range 6- 60 months),mean operative time (from holding stich to the last circumcision stitch) was seven minutes (range 5 - 15 minutes). Eighty seven patients (87%) underwent primary circumcision for religious and cultural reasons where as on thirteen patient (13 %) circumcision revisions were performed for cosmetic reasons as parents were not happy with the primary circumcisions(all neonatal circumcisions) outcome. Two patient (2%) presented to the emergency department with minor bleed on the evening of surgery(not actively bleeding at the emergency visit but had mild to moderate size penile hematoma at the incision site). These two patients were managed with penile dressing for 24 hours. All patients had good cosmetic outcomes on follow up visit. No patients were found to have skin necrosis, glans necrosis and/or urethral injury.

# **Discussion**

Circumcision is the most common operation performed on males, with approximately 25–33% men undergoing this operation around the world [1,2]. The rate of circumcision varies in different countries, being 99% in Muslim countries as opposed to 5% in the United Kingdom [11]. Most of the circumcisions are performed for religious reasons [3]. Although the British Medical Association states that circumcision should only be performed when medically indicated but still many voluntary circumcisions are performed in the UK [12].

Most of the circumcisions are performed at homes and health centers in rural areas of our country without anesthesia by inexperienced personnel. There is a high rate of complications like bleeding and even utrethral injuries, glans amputation. The rate of complications lessens when the circumcisions are performed in hospitals.

Different surgeon use varied techniques for circumcision. For coagulation, most of the surgeons prefer bipolar diathermy due to safety. But I am trained in a center where the mono polar diathermy was used for circumcision with complications rate similar to bipolar diathermy and procedure is performed more efficiently. Even in this study, our results shows if monopolar diathermy is used judiciously, it is very effective, simple and safe in performing circumcision.

Bleeding is the most common post operative complication of circumcision but other serious complications like penile shaft skin

loss occurs due to the injudicious use of monopolar diathermy, infection and poor surgical technique [6]. In our study, no patients were found to have skin necrosis, glans necrosis and/or urethral injury. The only study in my knowledge which compared the bleeding complications after circumcision is by Niall J et al. which showed that for a single surgeon return to the hospital for circumcision bleeding using monopolar electro cautry is 1.6% patients as compare to 0.6% patients using bipolar diathermy (p=0.2133) [13] but only 13% of those who returned for evaluation, had to return to the operating room to control post-operative bleeding. Other studies have identified up to 63% of patients who returned to the hospital required return to the operating theater [9]. But in this study only two patient (2%) presented to the emergency department with minor bleeding that were managed with penile dressing in the emergency room and none of the patient was taken to the Operating Room.

## Conclusion

Circumcision using monopolar diathermy, if used judiciously, is a safe, simple and efficient surgical operation.

#### References

- Rizvi SA, Naqvi SA, Hussain M, Hasan AS. Religious circumcision: a Muslim view. BJU Int. 1999; 83: 13-16.
- Weiss HA, Larke N, Halperin D, Schenker I. Complications of circumcision in male neonates, infants and children: a systematic review. BMC Urol. 2010; 10: 2.
- Moses S, Bailey RC, Ronald AR. Male circumcision: assessment of health benefits and risks. Sex Transm Infect. 1998; 74: 368-373.
- Elder JS, Walsh PC, Retik AB, Vaughan ED, Wein AJ. Congenital anomalies of the genitalia. Campbell Urology. 1998; 2121-2122.
- Harrison NW, Eshleman JL, Ngugi PM. Ethical issues in the developing world. Br J Urol. 1995; 76: 93-96.
- Ceylan K, Burhan K, Yilmaz Y, Can S, Kuay A, Mustafa G. Severe complications of circumcision: an analysis of 48 cases. J Pediatr Urol. 2007; 3: 32-35.
- Van Duyn J, Warr WS. Excessive penile skin loss from circumcision. J Med Assoc Ga. 1962; 51: 394-396.
- Kaplan GW. Complications of circumcision. Urol Clin North Am. 1983; 10: 543-549.
- Cathcart P, Nuttall M, Van Der Meulen J, Emberton M, Kenny SE. Trends in paediatric circumcision and its complications in England between 1997 and 2003. Br J Surg. 2006; 93: 885-890.
- Peters KM, Kass EJ. Electrosurgery for routine pediatric penile procedures. J Urol. 1997; 157: 1453-1455.
- Schoen EJ. The status of circumcision of newborns. N Engl J Med. 1990; 322: 1308-1312.
- Williams N, Kapila L. Complications of circumcision. Br J Surg. 1993; 80: 1231-1236.
- Niall J. Harty, Caleb P. Nelson, Marc Cendron, Shaunna Turner, Joseph G. Borer. The impact of electrocautery method on post-operative bleeding complications after non-newborn circumcision and revision circumcision. J Pediatr Urol. 2013; 9: 634-637.

Austin J Urol - Volume 3 Issue 2 - 2016	Citation: Khan MK. Amirzadah P and Mohavuddin N. Our Initial Experience of Circumcision using Monopolar
ISSN: 2472-3606   www.austinpublishinggroup.com	Diathermy, Austin J Urol. 2016: 3(2): 1043.
Khan et al. © All rights are reserved	

Submit your Manuscript | www.austinpublishinggroup.com