Austin Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology



Special Article - Health Policy

Fentanyl Test Strip Use and Overdose History among Individuals on Medication for Opioid Use Disorder

Mistler $CB^{1,2*}$, Rosen $AO^{1,2}$, Eger W^3 , Copenhaver $MM^{1,2}$ and Shrestha $R^{1,2}$

¹Department of Allied Health Sciences, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, USA

²Institute for Collaboration on Health, Intervention and Policy (InCHIP), University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT, USA

³Department of Epidemiology of Microbial Diseases, School of Public Health, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

*Corresponding author: Colleen B Mistler, 358 Mansfield Road, Unit 1101, Storrs, CT, 06269-1101, USA

Received: November 29, 2021; **Accepted:** December 22, 2021; **Published:** December 29, 2021

Abstract

Background: The number of deaths from drug overdose in the United States has more than doubled in the past decade. In particular, drug overdose deaths involving fentanyl have doubled every year since 2013. Rapid fentanyl test strips (FTS) are a useful strategy for detecting traces of fentanyl in substances and have received overwhelming support from individuals with opioid-dependence.

Methods: We investigated fentanyl use, knowledge of FTS, willingness to use FTS, and overdose history among a sample of 105 individuals currently on medication for opioid use disorder (MOUD).

Results: Results showed that the majority (63%) of the sample had experienced a non-fatal overdose and that 85% of participants were willing to use FTS. The majority of participants reported concern about fentanyl in their drug supply (70%) and 77% reported likelihood of past unintentional fentanyl use. Of note, only about half (47%) of participants knew of FTS prior to being surveyed, and only 17% reported ever using FTS.

Conclusion: These results expand on previous literature that documents high levels of willingness, yet low uptake of FTS among individuals on MOUD. Widespread education about FTS, in addition to the implementation of the use of FTS, is a highly promising and critical primary prevention alternative to overdose treatment and/or death due to fentanyl.

Keywords: Fentanyl; Fentanyl test strips; Medication for opioid use disorder; Opioid overdose; People who inject drugs

Introduction

Drug overdose deaths in the United States have exponentially increased in the past decade [1], as synthetic opioids such as fentanyl have become increasingly prevalent among populations who use illicit drugs. Synthetic opioids are 50-100 times more potent than heroin, thus are incredibly dangerous when present in drug supplies [2]. Alarmingly, death rates involving fentanyl overdose have doubled each year since 2013, with over 85% of overdose deaths in 2016 involving fentanyl [3]. These trends in overdose deaths prompted the Department of Drug Enforcement Administration to issue a nationwide alert, identifying fentanyl-related overdoses as a threat to public health and safety [4].

As alarming rates of overdose deaths have spread across the country, greater prevention efforts are needed to address the threat. Naloxone is a medication designed to rapidly reverse the effects of an overdose when administered in a timely manner [5], and is a commonly used tertiary prevention strategy that saves lives from opioid overdose. Most recently, the fentanyl test strip (FTS) has emerged as an innovative drug-checking tool to address the opioid overdose crisis [6,7]. Unlike naloxone, it is a primary prevention strategy that can be used to detect traces of fentanyl in substances before ingestion, thus preventing unintentional fentanyl exposure and accidental overdose if used appropriately. FTS provides an opportunity for substance users to test their drug supply for fentanyl in a private setting, allowing them to make their own choices and be

in control of their overdose risk [8,9].

Individuals who use illicit opioids have unanimously supported the use of FTS to reduce overdose risk [6-8,10-15]. However, people on medication for opioid use disorder (MOUD) have been underrepresented in these studies. Other studies have indicated that people on MOUD continue to engage in illicit substance use [16-18], yet are more likely to engage in FTS-related harm reduction strategies to prevent overdose [19]. Use of MOUD treatment increased nationally by 65% in recent years, with the New England region providing higher rates of MOUD accessibility than other parts of the United States [20], demanding greater research on the harm reduction behaviors among persons on MOUD in the northeast. With an average of over 130 people dying each day from opioid overdose [21], more knowledge is needed on the prevalence of fentanyl use and use of FTS to aid in reducing overdose rates in high risk groups of individuals on MOUD. In the present study, we investigated willingness to use FTS among a sample of 105 participants on MOUD in New Haven, CT.

Methods

Participants

Participants were recruited between July 2018 and October 2019 from a sample of individuals in treatment for opioid use disorder to study HIV prevention and harm reduction behaviors [22, 23]. Participants were eligible for the study if they were: a) at least 18 years or older; b) self-reported HIV-negative or unaware of HIV status;

c) self-reported drug- and/or sex-related HIV risk behaviors (e.g., needle sharing, unprotected sex) in the past 6 months; d) met DSM-V criteria for opioid use disorder (OUD); and e) currently on MOUD treatment. All participants included in the sample were stabilized on methadone.

Study procedures

Participants were recruited from an addiction treatment program (APT Foundation, Inc.) in New Haven, Connecticut. It is the largest addiction treatment center in Connecticut with over 7,000 patients on MOUD. Recruitment methods included snowball sampling by using clinic-based advertisements and flyers, word of mouth, and referrals from counselors. All screening and interviews were conducted privately by trained research assistants. Upon informed consent, participants were asked to complete a survey using audio computer-assisted self-interview (ACASI), which took approximately 45 minutes. Participants were reimbursed \$25 for their time. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Connecticut and received board approval from the APT Foundation Inc.

Measures

Participant demographics were collected, including age, self-reported sex, sexual orientation, ethnicity, marital status, education status, housing status, income, and visits with a primary care physician in the past year (Table 1). Substance use characteristics were also measured, including current methadone dose and Alcohol Use Disorder.

Illicit drug use, overdose history and prevention, and fentanyl use characteristics were measured (Table 2). These measurements included heroin use, polysubstance use (two or more substances), and daily injection in the past 30 days. Overdose characteristics included dichotomous (yes or no) measurements of experiencing an overdose, witnessing an overdose, or knowing someone who had died from an overdose. Overdose prevention measures included knowledge of naloxone (e.g., Narcan) accessibility and keeping a personal supply of naloxone to treat potential overdose. Fentanyl-related measures included concern about fentanyl being in drug supply, likelihood of past drug supply containing fentanyl, and personal desire to know if a drug supply contains fentanyl. Data were also collected regarding prior knowledge of FTS, knowledge of where to obtain FTS, willingness to use FTS, and past FTS use.

Intentional fentanyl use was measured by asking participants if they had ever purposely used fentanyl, and if they had purposely used fentanyl in the past 30 days. Table 3 measures include route of primary fentanyl use, frequency of fentanyl use, and source for participants who indicated they had ever purposefully used fentanyl.

Data analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS v.25. We conducted Chisquared analyses to investigate differences in FTS outcomes between demographic and clinical groups (sex, education level, housing status, overdose history, etc.); setting the significance level to p<0.05. Some demographic variables were recategorized for data analysis due to small portions of participants in categories (e.g., sexual orientation, ethnicity, housing status, and annual income).

Results

Among this sample of 105 participants on MOUD, 55% were male, 78% identified as White, and 70% had at least a high school education. A majority of the sample (53%) reported experiencing homelessness in the past year, an annual income of less than \$10,000 (73%), and moderate to severe depression (78%). All 105 participants were on methadone, with an average dose of 84.6 mg.

Polysubstance use was reported by a majority of the sample (85%), while 19% reported injecting drugs daily in the past 30 days. Almost all participants (91%) knew where to access naloxone, while more than half of the sample reported keeping a personal supply of naloxone (67%). Having experienced a non-fatal overdose (63%), ever witnessing someone else overdose (83%), and having known someone who died from an overdose (91%) were also reported by a

Table 1:

Sample Demographics	Total (N=105)	% / (S.D)
Age	40.8	(+/- 9.5)
Gender		
Female	47	45%
Male	58	55%
Heterosexual Orientation		
No	18	17%
Yes	87	83%
Ethnicity		
Non-white	23	21%
White	82	78%
Currently married/living with partner		
No	78	74%
Yes	27	26%
High school graduate		
No	31	30%
Yes	74	70%
Income Level		
<\$10,000	77	73%
≥\$10,000	28	27%
Homeless in past year		
No	49	47%
Yes	56	53%
Alcohol Use Disorder		
No	65	62%
Yes	40	38%
Moderate/Severe Depression		
No	23	22%
Yes	82	78%
Methadone Dose	84.6	(+/- 31.4)
Visited primary care physician in past year		
No	13	12%
Yes	92	88%

Mistler CB Austin Publishing Group

т	a	b	ı	e	2

Table 2:		
Drug Use Characteristics	Total (N=105)	%
Heroin use in past 30 days		
No	16	15%
Yes	89	85%
Inject Daily in past 30 days		
No	85	81%
Yes	20	19%
Polysubstance Use in past 30 days		
No	16	15%
Yes	89	85%
Overdose (OD) and OD Prevention Characteristics		
Experienced overdose in past 12 months		
No	84	80%
Yes	21	20%
Ever experienced overdose		
No	39	37%
Yes	66	63%
Ever witnessed someone else overdose		
No	18	17%
Yes	87	83%
Ever known someone who had died from an	-	
overdose		201
No	9	9%
Yes	96	91%
Keep personal supply of Narcan		
No	35	33%
Yes	70	67%
Knowledge of where to access Narcan		
No	9	9%
Yes	96	91%
Fentanyl Contamination Characteristics		
Concerned about fentanyl contamination		
No	32	30%
Yes	73	70%
Perceived past fentanyl contamination		
No	24	23%
Yes	81	77%
Intentional fentanyl use in past 30 days		
No	81	78%
Yes	24	22%
Want to know if drugs contain fentanyl		
No	24	23%
Yes	81	77%
Prior knowledge of fentanyl test strips		
No	56	53%
Yes	49	47%
	1	

Knowledge of where to buy fentanyl test strips		
No	74	70%
Yes	31	30%
Ever used fentanyl test strips		
No	87	83%
Yes	18	17%
Have a supply of fentanyl test strips		
No	90	86%
Yes	15	14%
Willingness to use fentanyl test strips		
No	16	15%
Yes	89	85%

Table 3:

Intentional Fentanyl Use (ever) Characteristics	Total (N=46)	%
Primary Route of Fentanyl Use		
Injection	31	67%
Non-Injection	13	28%
Don't Know	2	4%
Frequency of Fentanyl Use		
Once	18	39%
2-4 times per month	14	30%
4-6 times per month	4	9%
Daily	4	9%
2-3 times per day	1	2%
4+ times per day	3	7%
Don't Know	2	4%
Fentanyl Source		
Street	37	80%
Friends/Family	5	11%
Other/Don't know	4	9%

majority of participants.

Most of the sample reported being concerned about fentanyl in their drug supply (70%) and having assumed that their prior drug supplies contained fentanyl (77%), yet only 47% of participants were aware of FTS prior to the study. While we observed a high percentage (85%) of participants willing to use FTS, only 30% of participants knew where to access FTS and only 17% reported ever using FTS. Almost half of our sample (43%) reported ever intentionally using fentanyl; however, a majority (78%) of those participants reported intentionally using fentanyl a few times a month, compared to a small percentage (18%) who used it daily. Most of these participants reported injection as the primary route of fentanyl use (67%) and that the fentanyl was usually obtained from buying it off the street (80%). No statistical differences were observed across demographic variables, clinical identifiers, intentional fentanyl use, and willingness to use FTS.

Discussion

While polysubstance use, including intentional fentanyl use, and

rates of overdose have been well-documented in people on MOUD [16-18], this study was novel in finding a high willingness (85%) to use FTS among this population. A large percentage of participants in this study had either witnessed, known someone, or overdosed themselves; however, a much lower percentage reported knowledge about FTS or knowing where to access them. In contrast, almost all participants knew how to access naloxone to aid in reversing an overdose. Most states in the United States have some form of established program for at-risk populations to access naloxone [24,25], which is often implemented under the umbrella of syringe services programs (SSP). The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) only recently announced that federal funds may be used to purchase and supply FTS [26] in settings such as SSP's. A recent study reported the feasibility of FTS administration at SSP's in Delaware and Maryland [8], highlighting the potential of integrating FTS services in established harm reduction settings to reduce the incidence of fentanyl-related overdoses. Greater efforts are now required to appropriately support FTS administration in SSP's and drug treatment settings.

Further, non-fatal overdoses occur in 10-25% of opioid users annually [27-30]. From 2018-2019 alone, fentanyl-related overdoses increased by over 15% [21]. The rate of intentional fentanyl use among our sample (43%) was alarming, as synthetic opioids have been a key contributor to the rise in opioid-related overdoses [2]. Use of naloxone, such as Narcan, is a highly beneficial tertiary solution when needed and can be credited for saving many lives. However, recovery after a nonfatal overdose is complex, as there can be many detrimental impacts on health after an overdose. In fact, nonfatal overdoses are among the strongest predictors of future fatal overdoses, and individuals are more likely to have a fatal overdose after recovery [31,32]. FTS can serve as a primary means to decrease the likelihood of overdose before it happens, thus reducing the consequences of overdose including stroke, neurological impairment, and death [32]. Additionally, we recommend combining public health efforts and behavior change campaigns, such as the "Never Use Alone" campaign [33] that allows users to call/text a hotline for an anonymous volunteer to call emergency services if a person is unresponsive after using substances that may contain fentanyl.

Our results are consistent with previous literature surveying willingness to use FTS among a similar population of individuals with OUD in New England, where 90% of participants from two studies reported willingness to use FTS, and 98% reported high self-efficacy in using FTS [11,12]. While willingness to use FTS was high among our sample, the low rates of having ever used FTS and lack of knowledge about FTS accessibility highlight the need for additional efforts to bolster FTS knowledge and uptake. Factors that have been associated with greater willingness to use FTS in prior research included being female, older than 35 years of age, homelessness, non-white, witnessing at least one fatal overdose, and suspecting recent fentanyl exposure [14,15]. However, our study did not find any significant factors associated with greater willingness to use FTS among our sample population when explored in data analysis.

We should note some limitations of the current study. One limitation of this research is with regard to our sample. Our results are limited to individuals who are enrolled in MOUD programs, impacting the external validity to compare results to a general population of individuals with OUD who are not in treatment programs. However, use of MOUD is higher in the northeast than other parts of the United States [20], indicating a need for research for this population. The sample size of 105 participants may also impact generalizability and may explain the lack of statistical significance between groups in our analysis. Additionally, 78% of the sample identified as White, leading to a lack of generalizability to minority populations with OUD (such as Latinx and Black/African Americans). Nonetheless, the sample was well-representative of the demographic breakdown of the established and largest drug treatment program in the state of Connecticut. Future work with diverse populations with OUD would be beneficial and could aim to address whether FTS could be more widely accepted and utilized.

Conclusions

Synthetic opioid overdoses have dramatically increased the rates of fatality among individuals with opioid dependence [2]. Nonfatal overdoses also have serious health consequences after recovery such as peripheral neuropathy, temporary limb paralysis, and chest infections [32]. Therefore, providing this vulnerable population with a straightforward alternative to prevent the risk of overdose would be crucial for their long-term safety and health, as well as community health. With the well-established infrastructure of drug treatment programs and SSP's, it would seem feasible to implement primary prevention approaches aimed at improving FTS knowledge and use among patients in these settings. This could help to increase engagement in safer drug use behavior among persons on MOUD, leading to overdose reductions among this population. Our study showed a high willingness to use FTS, even among individuals on MOUD who intentionally used fentanyl but who also wanted to reduce risk of overdose.

Declarations

This work was supported and funded by grants from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (K01 DA051346 for RS, K24DA051344 for MMC). The funding organizations had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to report. All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest or non-financial interest in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript. The authors have no financial or proprietary interests in any material discussed in this article.

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Connecticut and received board approval from the APT Foundation, Inc. methadone maintenance program in New Haven, CT. All participants signed informed consent before engaging in any research procedures.

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by Colleen Mistler, Roman Shrestha, and Michael Copenhaver. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Colleen Mistler and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read

and approved the final manuscript.

References

- 1. National Institute on Drug Abuse. Overdose Death Rates. 2019.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Synthetic Opioid Overdose. 2020.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Drug Overdose Deaths Involving Fentanyl, 2011-2016. 2019.
- United States Drug Enforcement Administration. DEA Issues Nationwide Alert on Fentanyl as Threat to Health and Public Safety. 2015.
- National Institute on Drug Abuse. Opioid Overdose Reversal with Nalaxone (Narcan, Evzio). 2020.
- Tupper KW, McCrae K, Garber I, Lysyshyn M, Wood E. Initial results of a drug checking pilot program to detect fentanyl adulteration in a Canadian setting. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2018; 190: 242-245.
- Laing MK, Tupper KW, Fairbairn N. Drug checking as a potential strategic overdose response in the fentanyl era. Int J Drug Policy. 2018; 62: 59-66.
- Park JN, Frankel S, Morris M, Dieni O, Fahey-Morrison L, Luta M, et al. Evaluation of fentanyl test strip distribution in two Mid-Atlantic syringe services programs. International Journal of Drug Policy. 2021; 94: 103196.
- Weicker NP, Owczarzak J, Urquhart G, Park JN, Rouhani S, Ling R, et al. Agency in the fentanyl era: Exploring the utility of fentanyl test strips in an opaque drug market. International Journal of Drug Policy. 2020; 84: 102900.
- Goldman JE, Waye KM, Periera KA, Krieger MS, Yedinak JL, Marshall BDL. Perspectives on rapid fentanyl test strips as a harm reduction practice among young adults who use drugs: a qualitative study. Harm Reduct J. 2019; 16: 3.
- Krieger MS, Goedel WC, Buxton JA, Lysyshyn M, Bernstein E, Sherman SG, et al. Use of rapid fentanyl test strips among young adults who use drugs. Int J Drug Policy. 2018; 61: 52-58.
- Krieger MS, Yedinak JL, Buxton JA, Lysyshyn M, Bernstein E, Rich JD, et al. High willingness to use rapid fentanyl test strips among young adults who use drugs. Harm Reduct J. 2018; 15: 7.
- Peiper NC, Clarke SD, Vincent LB, Ciccarone D, Kral AH, Zibbell JE. Fentanyl test strips as an opioid overdose prevention strategy: Findings from a syringe services program in the Southeastern United States. Int J Drug Policy. 2019; 63: 122-128.
- Sherman SG, Morales KB, Park JN, McKenzie M, Marshall BDL, Green TC. Acceptability of implementing community-based drug checking services for people who use drugs in three United States cities: Baltimore, Boston and Providence. Int J Drug Policy. 2019; 68: 46-53.
- Kennedy MC, Scheim A, Rachlis B, Mitra S, Bardwell G, Rourke S, et al. Willingness to use drug checking within future supervised injection services among people who inject drugs in a mid-sized Canadian city. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2018; 185: 248-252.
- Wang L, Min JE, Krebs E, Evans E, Huang D, Liu L, et al. Polydrug use and its association with drug treatment outcomes among primary heroin, methamphetamine, and cocaine users. Int J Drug Policy. 2017; 49: 32-40.

- Hunt DE, Lipton DS, Goldsmith D, Strug D. Street pharmacology: uses of cocaine and heroin in the treatment of addiction. Drug Alcohol Depend. 1984; 13: 375-387.
- Chandra DK, Altice FL, Copenhaver MM, Zhou X, Didomizio E, Shrestha R. Purposeful Fentanyl Use and Associated Factors among Opioid-Dependent People Who Inject Drugs. Substance Use & Misuse. 2021; 56: 979-987.
- Mistler CB, Chandra DK, Copenhaver MM, Wickersham JA, Shrestha R. Engagement in Harm Reduction Strategies After Suspected Fentanyl Contamination Among Opioid-Dependent Individuals. Journal of Community Health. 2020.
- 20. Blue Cross Blue Shield. America's opioid epidemic and its effect on the nation's commercially-insured population. 2017.
- 21. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Understanding the Epidemic. 2020.
- 22. Ni Z, Altice FL, Wickersham JA, Copenhaver MM, DiDomizio EE, Nelson LE, et al. Willingness to initiate pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) and its use among opioid-dependent individuals in drug treatment. Drug and Alcohol Dependence. 2021; 219: 108477.
- 23. Shrestha R, DiDomizio EE, Kim RS, Altice FL, Wickersham JA, Copenhaver MM. Awareness about and willingness to use long-acting injectable pre-exposure prophylaxis (LAI-PrEP) among people who use drugs. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment. 2020; 117: 108058.
- 24. Bennett AS, Elliott L. Naloxone's role in the national opioid crisis-past struggles, current efforts, and future opportunities. Transl Res. 2021.
- Centers of Disease Control and Prevention. Syringe Services Programs (SSPs) FAQs. 2020.
- 26. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Federal Grantees May Now Use Funds to Purchase Fentanyl Test Strips. 2021.
- 27. Milloy MJ, Kerr T, Mathias R, Zhang R, Montaner JS, Tyndall M, et al. Non-fatal overdose among a cohort of active injection drug users recruited from a supervised injection facility. Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse. 2008; 34: 499-509.
- Gossop M, Griffiths P, Powis B, Williamson S, Strang J. Frequency of nonfatal heroin overdose: survey of heroin users recruited in non-clinical settings. Bmj. 1996; 313: 402.
- Ochoa KC, Davidson PJ, Evans JL, Hahn JA, Page-Shafer K, Moss AR. Heroin overdose among young injection drug users in San Francisco. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2005; 80: 297-302.
- 30. Coffin PO, Sullivan SD. Cost-effectiveness of distributing naloxone to heroin users for lav overdose reversal. Ann Intern Med. 2013: 158: 1-9.
- Caudarella A, Dong H, Milloy MJ, Kerr T, Wood E, Hayashi K. Non-fatal overdose as a risk factor for subsequent fatal overdose among people who inject drugs. Drug Alcohol Depend. 2016; 162: 51-55.
- 32. Warner-Smith M, Darke S, Day C. Morbidity associated with non-fatal heroin overdose. Addiction. 2002: 97: 963-967.
- 33. Never Use Alone. Never Use Alone Hotline. 2021.