## **Research Article**

# Sexual Dysfunction and Domestic Violence among Infertile Females: A Cross-Sectional Study

**Unsal A<sup>1</sup>, Aydin Y<sup>2\*</sup>, Arslantas D<sup>3</sup> and Hassa H<sup>4</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Professor in Public Health, Eskisehir Osmangazi University School of Medicine, Department of Public Health, Eskisehir, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor in Obstetrics and Gynecology, Eskisehir Osmangazi University School of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Eskisehir, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Professor in Public Health, Eskisehir Osmangazi University School of Medicine, Department of Public Health, Eskisehir, Turkey

<sup>4</sup>Professor in Obstetrics and Gynecology, Eskisehir Osmangazi University School of Medicine, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Eskisehir, Turkey

\*Corresponding author: Yunus Aydin, MD, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Eskisehir Osmangazi University School of Medicine, Eskisehir, Turkey

Received: August 27, 2019; Accepted: October 09, 2019; Published: October 16, 2019

#### Abstract

**Background:** Sexual dysfunction and interpersonal relationship have been reported as the associated factors with infertility. The association between sexual dysfunction-violence and infertility is complex and reciprocal.

**Objective:** To investigate the sexual dysfunction and associated factors and determine the domestic violence among infertile females.

**Materials and Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted in a university infertility clinic. 386 of the 512 females agreed to participate and were given a preciously prepared questionnaire to complete. Questionnaire was including socio-demographic characteristics and habits, infertility associated factors, the history of domestic violence, the history of social pressure and sexual dysfunction. Sexual dysfunction was assessed with Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI).

**Results:** The frequencies of sexual dysfunction and domestic violence were 13.7% (n=53) and 12.7% (n=49), respectively. According to socio-demographic characteristics; the frequency of sexual dysfunction was higher in non-employment (p=0.04) and lower income women (p=0.001), when the menarche age was lower than 12 (p=0.01) and with the existence of domestic violence (p=0.01). The frequency of domestic violence was lower in university graduated infertile females (p=0.02), in couples married with agreement (p=0.01) and higher in couples with lower income (p=0.02). The lower income (odds ratio [OR] 5.73, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.21-27.08, p=0.02) and the presence of sexual dysfunction (OR 2.91, 95% CI 1.36-6.21, p=0.006) were risk factors for domestic violence.

**Conclusion:** Sexual dysfunction was significantly associated with working status, income levels, menarche age and the domestic violence. Additionally, domestic violence was significantly associated with agreement in marriage, income levels and educational level.

Keywords: Domestic violence; Infertility; Sexual dysfunction

# Introduction

Infertility can be defined as not able to achieve a pregnancy after at least 1 year of regular, unprotected sexual intercourse [1] Of the married couples all over the world, 10-18% (approximately 72.4 million) were estimated to be infertile [2]. The various several factors such as depression, anxiety, disturbing eating behavior, sexual dysfunction and interpersonal relationship have been reported as the associated factors with infertility [3-4].

Infertile females are usually face with their inability to give birth to a child, a trouble that come to light with several condition such as violence, psychological imbalance, interpersonal problems, relationship breakdown, divorced by her husband. These interpersonal problems may be resulted in low relationship satisfaction, with a high proportion of infertile female reporting sexual dysfunction [4].

The association between sexual behavior and infertility is complex and reciprocal. The sexual dysfunction can cause a delay in conception, otherwise infertility can be considered as a consequence of sexual dysfunction [5]. The evaluation of sexual behavior should already be the main part of infertility examination. The fact remains that, there is successful treatment of infertility through only sexual intercourse in many cases [5].

Diagnosis and treatment process of infertile couples give rise to living in fear and anxiety [6,7]. This situation may cause decreasing in self-esteem and frequency of sexual intercourse, and increasing the feeling of insufficiency [3]. The marriages that are under such psychological pressure may arrive at the conclusion of marital inharmoniousness, domestic violence and also divorce. According to the nationwide survey of violence against women in Turkey, 35% of women have experienced physical violence from their husbands at least once in their lives [8]. Additionally, the study that conducted in Turkish setting reported that women experienced domestic violence due to infertility are generally twice when to compare with women with children [9].

Many studies have been reported association between female infertility and sexual dysfunction [10]. However Sexual Dysfunction and Domestic Violence among infertile females, especially in Turkish population, are debatable associated factors that still need explanation.

Citation: Unsal A, Aydin Y, Arslantas D and Hassa H. Sexual Dysfunction and Domestic Violence among Infertile Females: A Cross-Sectional Study. Austin J Obstet Gynecol. 2019; 6(5): 1149. Overall following research questions were determined; (i) What is the frequency of sexual dysfunction among infertile woman applying to a reproductive health center? (ii) Which characteristic factors related to sexual dysfunction? (iii) What is the frequency of domestic violence among infertile woman applying to a reproductive health center? (iiii) Is there any association between sexual dysfunction and domestic violence among infertile female?

The objective of the study was to investigate the sexual dysfunction, domestic violence and associated factors in Turkish infertile females.

# **Materials and Methods**

This cross-sectional study was carried out infertile females in the Eskisehir Osmangazi University, Faculty of Medicine Reproductive Health Center between 01 July 2015 and 30 September 2015. 386 (75.3%) infertile women consisted of study group. There were 512 infertile women' applications in the study period. The ethical permissions were received from the hospital management and the Eskisehir Osmangazi University Ethical Committee (80558721-G-97).

The questionnaire prepared according to literature. Questionnaire was including socio-demographic characteristics and habits (age, educational level, job status, family income status, physiciandiagnosed chronic disease, gynecologic operation history, height and weight), infertility associated factors (marriage process, is it first marrying, married age, menarche age, menstrual period, having dysmenorrhea, type of infertility, infertility duration and cause of infertility), the history of domestic violence, the history of social pressure and Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI).

Sexual dysfunction was assessed with FSFI developed by Rosen et al. [11]. The validation study in Turkish setting was conducted by Aygin et al. [12]. The questionnaire consisted of 19 questions and the total score ranged between 2 and 36. The higher score indicates better sexual function. The cutoff score of the questionnaire is 26.5. Females who have lower scores than 26.5 were considered as have the sexual dysfunction.

Females who have the inability to become pregnant despite regular sexual intercourse during the last year were considered to be "infertile". Couples who have not ever become pregnant were evaluated as primary infertile and those who have been pregnant at least once but never again were evaluated as secondary infertile [13]. Females who faced with one of the physical, verbal, economic, emotional and sexual violence types at least once determined as undergoing domestic violence [13].

Females who smoke at least one cigarette daily were defined as smokers, those consume at least 30 grams of ethyl alcohol weekly were defined as alcohol consumers [14]. Females who diagnosed by the physician, at least, one of the chronical diseases was determined as 'have a chronic disease'. Females who works in salaried employment determined as 'working'.

If a female had pain in the abdominal, groin, and lumbar region on the day before the menstrual period and/or the first day of the menstrual period, it was considered to be dysmenorrhea. The participants' body mass indices (BMIs) were calculated by measuring their heights and weight. BMI values that corresponded to BMI of \$30.0 kg/m2 were classified as obese.

#### Study implementation

All subjects were told that participation in the investigation was strictly voluntary and that the data collected would not be used for anything except for this research study. Females who agreed to participate were given the questionnaire to complete. The duration for completing the questionnaire was between 15 and 20 minutes for per subject. The process of data collection was conducted according to Helsinki Declaration.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was made with IBM SPSS Statistics software (version 20.0). The statistical analysis was carried out using Chisquare tests ( $x^2$ ) and Logistic Regression Analysis (Stepwise Backward Wald). A value of p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

## Results

The mean age of the females was  $29.87\pm5.26$  years and ranged between 19 and 50. Of the females, 32.9% was in 25-29 age group, 30.6% was working, 74.6% was evaluated herself in moderate income status, 22.3% was smoking, 4.7% consumed alcohol and 11.7% was obese. The frequency of sexual dysfunction was 13.7% (n=53). The socio-demographic characteristics of the females with and without sexual dysfunction are summarized in (Table 1).

Of the females, 63.2% married in agreement, 94.3% reported that this is the first marriage, 42% was in 5-9 years' marriage period. 52.3% (n=203) of females had unexplained infertility. The marriage and infertility characteristics of the females with and without sexual dysfunction are summarized in (Table 2).

12.7% (n=49) of females was undergone domestic violence and 17.9% of them were undergone social pressure. The distribution of domestic violence and social pressure according to having sexual dysfunction summarized in (Table 3).

12.7% of infertile females had a history of domestic violence. The following types of domestic violence were reported; physical violence (6%), physiologic violence (24.1%), economic violence (36.1%), emotional violence (36.1%) and sexual violence (14.5%). The distribution of sociodemographic and marriage characteristics according to having the history of domestic violence are summarized in (Table 4). The dependent variable of the model was domestic violence. The independent variables were educational level, marriage type, income status, first marriage age, sexual dysfunction. The results of logistic regression analysis are summarized in (Table 5).

## **Discussion**

It is presented that infertile couples are at higher risk of sexual dysfunction than fertile couples in several studies [5,10,15]. In this study, the frequency of sexual dysfunction found to be 13.7%. In many studies conducted among infertile females in several cultures, such as in the USA [15] (%40), in the Iran [16] (56%), in the Turkey [17] (43.3%) have been demonstrated higher frequencies. The frequency of sexual dysfunction is varied in the studies due to different cultural characteristics and awareness and perceptions of their sexual functioning Moreover another factor for the differences in the literature on the frequency of sexual dysfunction is the fact that

	Sexual dysfunction			Statistical analyse
Sociodemographic characteristics	No	Yes	Total	X²; p
	n (%)ª	n (%)ª	n (%) <sup>ь</sup>	
	Age g	roup		
≤24	57 (87.7)	8 (12.3)	65 (16.8)	
25-29	108 (85.0)	19 (15.0)	127 (32.9)	0.345; 0.951
30-34	101 (87.1)	15 (12.9)	116 (30.1)	
≥35	67 (85.9)	11 (14.1)	78 (20.2)	
	Educatio	nal level	· · ·	
Primary school and below	94 (84.7)	17 (15.3)	111 (28.8)	
Elementary and high school	169 (86.2)	27 (13.8)	196 (50.8)	0.600; 0.741
University	70 (88.6)	9 (11.4)	79 (20.5)	
	Working	status	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Non-employment	225 (84.0)	43 (16.0)	268 (69.4)	0.004.0.040
Employment	108 (91.5)	10 (8.5)	118 (30.6)	3.964, 0.046
	Income	status		
Low	13 (59.1)	9 (40.9)	22 (5.7)	
Moderate	258 (89.6)	30 (10.4)	288 (74.6)	17.801; 0.001
High	62 (81.6)	14 (18.4)	76 (19.7)	
	Smol	king	1 I	
No	258 (86.0)	42 (14.0)	300 (77.7)	0.010.0.010
Yes	75 (87.2)	11 (12.8)	86 (22.3)	0.012; 0.913
	Alcohol cor	sumption		
No	316 (85.9)	52 (14.1)	368 (95.3)	F. J. 0.407
Yes	17 (94.4)	1 (5.6)	18 (4.7)	Fisher; 0.487
	Having a chro	onic disease	· · ·	
No	279 (87.2)	41 (12.8)	320 (82.9)	0.917; 0.338
Yes	54 (81.8)	12 (18.2)	66 (17.1)	
	History of gynecol	logical operation		
No	264 (86.6)	41 (13.4)	305 (79.0)	0.019; 0.891
Yes	69 (85.2)	12 (14.8)	81 (21.0)	
	Obes	sity		
No	294 (86.2)	47 (13.8)	341 (88.3)	0.000 4.000
Yes	39 (86.7)	6 (13.3)	45 (11.7)	0.000; 1.000
Total	333 (86.3)	53 (13.7)	386 (100.0)	

Table 1: The socio-demographic characteristics of the females with and without sexual dysfunction.

<sup>a</sup> Percent for the row.

<sup>b</sup> Percent for the column.

there is no standard for the scales used for the diagnosis of sexual dysfunction.

In this study, there was no significant relationship between sexual dysfunction and age group among infertile female. There were several studies reported that increasing age found to be an associated factor with sexual dysfunction [15-17]. Contrary, Cayan et al reported that there is no relationship between age and sexual dysfunction [18]. The frequency of sexual dysfunction was found similar in terms of smoking and alcohol consumption among infertile females, and the females those were not working had the greater frequency of

sexual dysfunction than those were working. Cayan et al. reported that there was an association between smoking history and sexual dysfunction and between employment status and sexual dysfunction [18]. Keskin et al. reported that depression, age group, income level and educational level were found to be the best predictors of sexual dysfunction [19].

Obesity can be considered as a reason of the sexual hormones imbalance. The reproduction of females may be affected by the sexual hormones imbalance [20]. In the current study, there was no significant relationship between the status of obesity and sexual

#### Aydin Y

 Table 2: The distribution of marriage and infertility characteristics of the females with and without sexual dysfunction.

with and without sexual dys	Sex	Statistical analyses				
Marriage and infertility characteristics	No	Yes	Total	X²; p		
	n (%)ª	n (%)ª	n (%)⁵			
	Marria	ige type	1	1		
Prearranged	104 (83.2)	21 (16.8)	125 (32.4)			
With Agreement	216 (88.5)	28 (11.5)	244 (63.2)	3.420; 0.181		
Antisocially	13 (76.5)	4 (23.5)	17 (4.4)			
	ls it first	marriage?	1	1		
Yes	312 (85.7)	52 (14.3)	364 (94.3)			
No	21 (95.5)	1 (4.5)	22 (5.7)	Fisher; 0.336		
	- irst marria	ge age(yea	rs)			
≤19	90 (92.8)	7 (7.2)	97 (25.1)			
20-24	148 (82.2)	32 (17.8)	180 (46.6)			
25-29	71 (87.7)	10 (12.3)	81 (21.0)	6.102; 0.107		
≥30	24 (85.7)	4 (14.3)	28 (7.3)			
	Marriage du	iration(yea	rs)			
≤4	121 (88.3)	16 (11.7)	137 (35.8)			
9-May	135 (83.9)	26 (16.1)	161 (42.0)	1.314; 0.518		
≥10	74 (87.1)	11 (12.9)	85 (22.2)			
	Menar	che age				
≤12	95 (89.6)	11 (10.4)	106 (27.5)			
13	111 (87.4)	16 (12.6)	127 (32.9)			
14	74 (90.2)	8 (9.8)	82 (21.2)	10.333; 0.016		
≥15	53 (74.6)	18 (25.4)	71 (18.4)			
	Menstru	al period	1	1		
Irregular	107 (84.3)	20 (15.7)	127 (32.9)			
Regular	226 (87.3)	33 (12.7)	259 (67.1)	0.421; 0.516		
	Dysme	enorrhea		1		
No	171 (87.2)	25 (12.8)	196 (50.8)	0.000 0.570		
Yes	162 (85.3)	28 (14.7)	190 (49.2)	0.320; 0.572		
	Inferti	lity type		1		
Primer	296 (86.5)	46 (13.5)	342 (88.6)			
Seconder	37 (84.1)	7 (15.9)	44 (11.4)	0.046; 0.831		
	Infertility p	eriod(years	5)	1		
≤2	88 (26.4)	9 (17.0)	97 (25.1)			
4-Mar	83 (85.6)	14 (14.4)	97 (25.1)	2.552; 0.466		
9-May	111 (83.5)	22 (16.5)	133 (34.5)			
≥10	51 (86.4)	8 (13.6)	59 (15.3)			
	Cause o	f infertility				
Female	99 (84.6)	18 (15.4)	117 (30.3)	0.705- 0.005		
Male	40 (85.1)	7 (14.9)	47 (12.2)			
Both	16 (84.2)	3 (15.8)	19 (4.9)	0.735; 0.865		
Unexplained	178 (87.7)	25 (12.3)	203 (52.6)			
Total	333 (86.3)	53 (13.7)	386 (100.0)			

<sup>a</sup> Percent for the row.

<sup>b</sup> Percent for the column.

#### **Austin Publishing Group**

	Sexual dysfunction			Statistical analyse	
	No	Yes	Total	X²; p	
	n (%)ª	n (%)ª	n (%)⁵		
		Domes	tic violence		
No	297 (88.1)	40 (11.9)	337 (87.3)	0.575.0.040	
Yes	36 (73.5)	13 (26.5)	49 (12.7)	6.575; 0.010	
		Socia	l pressure		
No	273 (86.1)	44 (13.9)	317 (82.1)		
Yes	60 (87.0)	9 (13.0)	69 (17.9)	0.000; 1.000	
Total	333 (86.3)	53 (13.7)	386 (100.0)		

 Table 3: The distribution of domestic violence and social pressure according to having sexual dysfunction.

<sup>b</sup> Percent for the column.

dysfunction. Contrary, the study conducted in infertile females reported that higher BMI was associated with lower sexual function [21]. Many studies have been also reported such association between BMI and sexual function [22]. Self-reported height and weight measures in the current study might be the cause of these result differences. Because individuals could have reported lower weight and higher heights than the values that they really have.

The frequency of domestic violence among infertile female found to be 12.9%, a rate much lower than the study conducted in Iran setting (61.8%) [23] and in Turkey (33.6%) [9]. Contrary, in the study conducted in Hong Kong reported 1.8% rate much lower than our finding [24].

Some types of domestic violence such as physical, sexual and psychological, are known to influence physiological status of females [25]. The females who undergone to domestic violence are having a more tendency to influence perceived stress, anxiety and depression rates [26]. Increased stress may also influence sexual dysfunction or infertility rates [27]. In the current study, the females who had a history of domestic violence reported 2.91 times higher sexual dysfunction than females who did not have a history of domestic violence. Many studies have been shown similar results [23-25, 28].

It was expected that the frequency of domestic violence is higher in couples those are in lower income levels [24, 25]. Similarly, according to logistic regression analysis, the infertile females who were in low-income level reported 5.73 times the higher history of domestic violence than females who were in high-level income status. Income status and domestic violence among females found to be the associated factors.

If the females work in revenue generating business, they are able to have the ability of move more independently. The autonomy, especially the money-based autonomy, allowed to bring respectability from their husbands. There are a few studies that have been reported there was a significant relationship between employment status and domestic violence [29]. Contrary, in this study there was no significant relationship between employment status and domestic violence.

In the study, it was found that the frequency of domestic violence was lower in university graduated infertile females. There were several studies that found no association between experience of domestic violence and educational level [23,29]. Contrary, Nojomi et al.,

#### Aydin Y

	Domestic violence				
Characteristics	No	Yes	Total	X²; p	
	n (%)ª	n (%)ª	n (%)⁵		
	Ag	e group			
≤24	55 (84.6)	10 (15.4)	65 (16.8)		
25-29	106 (83.5)	21 (16.5)	127 (32.9)	4.454; 0.216	
30-34	104 (89.7)	12 (10.3)	116 (30.1)		
≥35	72 (92.3)	6 (7.7)	78 (20.2)		
	Educa	tional leve	el		
Primary school and below	93 (83.8)	18 (16.2)	111 (28.8)		
Elementary and high school	168 (85.7)	28 (14.3)	196 (50.7)	7.332; 0.026	
University	76 (96.2)	3 (3.8)	79 (20.5)		
	Work	ing status	5		
Non-employment	234 (87.3)	34 (12.7)	268 (69.4)	0.000 4.000	
Employment	103 (87.3)	15 (12.7)	118 (30.6)	0.000, 1.000	
	Inco	me status			
Low	17 (77.3)	5 (22.7)	22 (5.7)		
Moderate	247 (85.8)	41 (14.2)	288 (74.6)	7.862; 0.020	
High	73 (96.1)	3 (3.9)	76 (19.7)		
	Marr	iage type			
Prearranged	102 (81.6)	23 (18.4)	125 (32.4)		
With Agreement	222 (91.0)	22 (9.0)	244 (63.2)	8.451; 0.015	
Antisocially	13 (76.5)	4 (23.5)	17 (4.4)		
	ls it fir	st marriag	je		
Yes	318 (87.4)	46 (12.6)	364 (94.3)	Fisher; 0.750	
No	19 (86.4)	3 (13.6)	22 (5.7)	1 ISHEI, 0.750	
	First m	arriage ag	ge		
≤19	80 (82.5)	17 (17.5)	97 (25.1)		
20-24	156 (86.7)	24 (13.3)	180 (46.6)	6.761; 0.080	
25-29	73 (90.1)	8 (9.9)	81 (21.0)	0.701, 0.000	
≥30	28 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	28 (7.3)		
	Marriage of	duration(y	ears)		
≤4	129 (92.1)	11 (7.9)	140 (36.3)		
9-May	134 (83.2)	27 (16.8)	161 (41.7)	5.374; 0.068	
≥10	74 (87.1)	11 (12.9)	85 (22.0)		
	Cause	of infertili	ty		
Female	102 (87.2)	15 (12.8)	117 (30.3)		
Male	41 (87.2)	6 (12.8)	47 (12.2)		
Both	16 (84.2)	3 (15.8)	19 (4.9)	0.192; 0.979	
Unexplained	178 (87.7)	25 (12.3)	203 (52.6)		
Total	337 (87.3)	49 (12.7)	386 (100.0		

 Table 4:
 The distribution of sociodemographic and marriage characteristics according to having history of domestic violence.

<sup>a</sup> Percent for the row. <sup>b</sup> Percent for the column.

reported that low level of education was associated with an increased risk of domestic violence [30].

### **Austin Publishing Group**

ß	SE <sup>a</sup>	р	OR⁵			
Income Status (ref: high)						
1.534	0.621	0.013	4.637			
1.746	0.792	0.028	5.732			
Sexual dysfunction (ref: No)						
1.068	0.387	0.006	2.91			
-3.482	0.609	0	-			
	Income S 1.534 1.746 Sexual dys 1.068	Income Status (ref: high           1.534         0.621           1.746         0.792           Sexual dysfunction (ref:           1.068         0.387	Income Status (ref: high)           1.534         0.621         0.013           1.746         0.792         0.028           Sexual dysfunction (ref: No)           1.068         0.387         0.006			

### Table 5: The results of logistic regression analysis (step 2).

SE<sup>a</sup>: Standard error, OR<sup>b</sup> : Odd's ratio, CI<sup>c</sup>: Confidence interval.

The following limitations can be sorted (i) the current study is a descriptive study, (ii) study conducted in one reproductive health center, (iii) the questionnaires that used to determine domestic violence and sexual dysfunction were not the precise diagnostic tools.

As a conclusion; sexual dysfunction and domestic violence are important health issues among infertile females. Sexual dysfunction found to be higher in females those non-working, those in lowincome level, those were menarches aged at 12 and below and those have domestic violence. Additionally, domestic violence was significantly associated with non-agreement in marriage, low-income levels and lower educational level. There is the need for further studies investigating the causal link between sexual dysfunction and domestic violence.

## **Acknowledgments**

**Ethical approval:** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**Informed consent:** Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

## Authors' Contribution to the Manuscript

**Unsal:** Protocol/project development, Data collection or management.

Aydin: Data analysis, Manuscript writing/editing.

Arslantas: Protocol/project development, Data collection or management, Data analysis.

Hassa: Data analysis, Manuscript writing/editing.

#### References

- Zegers-Hochschild F, Adamson GD, de Mouzon J, et al. Zegers-Hochschild, F, et al. International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technology (ICMART) and the World Health Organization (WHO) revised glossary of ART terminology. Fertility and sterility. 2009; 92: 1520-1524.
- Boivin J, Bunting L, Collins JA, et al. International estimates of infertility prevalence and treatment-seeking: potential need and demand for infertility medical care. Human Reprod. 2007; 22: 1506-1512.
- Monga M, Alexandrescu B, Katz SE, et al. Impact of infertility on quality of life, marital adjustment, and sexual function. Urology. 2004; 63: 126-130.
- Gokler, M.E, Unsal A, Arslantas D. The prevalence of infertility and loneliness among women aged 18-49 years who are living in semi-rural areas in western Turkey. Int J Fertil Steril. 2014; 8: 155-162.
- 5. Tarlatzis I, Tarlatzis BC, Diakogiannis I, et al. Psychosocial impacts of

#### Aydin Y

infertility on Greek couples. Human Reprod. 1993; 8: 396-401.

- Ozcelik B, Karamustafalioglu O, Ozcelik A. The psychological and psychiatric aspects of infertility. Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry. 2007; 8: 140-148.
- Akyüz A, Şahiner G, Seven M, et al. The Effect of Marital Violence on Infertility Distress among A Sample of Turkish Women. Int J Fertil Steril. 2014; 8: 67-76.
- Altınay AG, Arat Y. Violence against women in Turkey: A nationwide survey. Istanbul: Punto. 2009.
- Yildizhan R, Adali E, Kolusari A, et al. Domestic violence against infertile women in a Turkish setting. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2009; 104: 110-112.
- Stellar C, Garcia-Moreno C, Temmerman M, et al. A systematic review and narrative report of the relationship between infertility, subfertility, and intimate partner violence. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2016; 133: 3-8.
- Rosen CB, Heiman J, Leiblum S, et al. The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): a multidimensional self-report instrument for the assessment of female sexual function. J Sex Marital Ther. 2000; 26: 191-208.
- Aygin D, Aslan FE. The Turkish adaptation of the female sexual function index. Turkiye Klinikleri. Journal of Medical Sciences. 2005; 25: 393-399.
- Mansour R, Ishihara O, Adamson GD, Dyer S, Chambers GM, de Mouzon J, et al. (2006) International Committee for Monitoring Assisted Reproductive Technologies world report: assisted reproductive technology Human Reprod. 2014; 29: 1536-1551.
- Tomkins S, Saburova L, Kiryanov N, et al. Prevalence and socio-economic distribution of hazardous patterns of alcohol drinking: study of alcohol consumption in men aged 25-54 years in Izhevsk, Russia. Addiction. 2007; 102: 544-553.
- Millheiser LS, Helmer AE, Quintero RB, Andreev E, McKee M, Shkolnikov V. et al. Is infertility a risk factor for female sexual dysfunction? A case-control study Fertil Steril. 2010; 94: 2022-2025.
- Pakpour AH, Yekaninejad MS, Zeidi IM, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of the female sexual dysfunction in a sample of infertile Iranian women. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2012; 286: 1589-1596.
- Kucur Suna K, Ilay G, Aysenur A, et al. Effects of Infertility Etiology and Depression on Female Sexual Function. J Sex Marital Ther. 2016; 42: 27-35.
- 18. Cayan S, Akbay E, Bozlu M, et al. The prevalence of female sexual dysfunction

and potential risk factors that may impair sexual function in Turkish women. Urologia Internationalis. 2004; 72: 52-57.

- Keskin U, Coksuer H, Gungor S, et al. Differences in prevalence of sexual dysfunction between primary and secondary infertile women. Fertil Steril. 2011; 96: 1213-1217.
- Azziz R. Reproductive endocrinologic alterations in female asymptomatic obesity. Fertil Steril. 1989; 52: 703-725.
- Jamali S, Zarei H, Jahromi AR. The relationship between body mass index and sexual function in infertile women: A cross-sectional survey. Iran J Reprod Med. 2014; 12: 189-198.
- Norman RJ, Noakes M, Wu R, Norman, R.J, et al. Improving reproductive performance in overweight/obese women with effective weight management. Human Reprod Update. 2004; 10: 267-280.
- Ardabily HE, Moghadam ZB, Salsali M, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for domestic violence against infertile women in an Iranian setting. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2011; 112: 15-17.
- Leung TW, Ng EH, Leung WC, et al. Intimate partner violence among infertile women. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2003; 83: 323-324.
- Bonomi AE, Thompson RS, Anderson M, et al. Intimate partner violence and women's physical, mental, and social functioning. Am J Prev Med. 2006; 30: 458-466.
- Coker AL, Davis KE, Arias I, et al. Physical and mental health effects of intimate partner violence for men and women. Am J Prev Med. 2002; 23: 260-268.
- Coker AL. Does physical intimate partner violence affect sexual health? A systematic review. Trauma, Violence, & Abuse. 2007; 8: 149-177.
- Dhont N, van de Wijgert J, Coene G, et al. 'Mama and papa nothing': living with infertility among an urban population in Kigali, Rwanda. Hum Reprod. 2011; 26: 623-629.
- Aduloju PO, Olagbuji NB, Olofinbiyi AB, et al. Prevalence and predictors of intimate partner violence among women attending infertility clinic in southwestern Nigeria. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2015; 188: 66-69.
- Nojomi M, Agaee S, Eslami S. Domestic violence against women attending gynecologic outpatient clinics. Arch Iran Med. 2007; 10: 309-315.

Austin J Obstet Gynecol - Volume 6 Issue 5 - 2019 **Submit your Manuscript** | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Aydin et al. © All rights are reserved

Citation: Unsal A, Aydin Y, Arslantas D and Hassa H. Sexual Dysfunction and Domestic Violence among Infertile Females: A Cross-Sectional Study. Austin J Obstet Gynecol. 2019; 6(5): 1149.