(Austin Publishing Group

Research Article

Relationship of Body Mass Index and Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Acute Kidney Injury: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Nsengimana B, Guo Y, Jin Y, Wei W* and Ji S* Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Basic Medical sciences, Henan University, Henan, China

*Corresponding author: Wenqiang Wei, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Henan University, Kaifeng, China

Shaoping Ji, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Henan University, Kaifeng, China

Received: March 14, 2022; **Accepted:** April 08, 2022; **Published:** April 15, 2022

Abstract

Background: A higher body mass index (BMI) is considered as risk factor of developing chronic kidney diseases. However, its impact on acute kidney injury (AKI) remains debatable. This meta-analysis aimed to scrutinize the research evidence regarding the association of BMI and AKI development.

Methods: Eligible studies published until August, 2021 were searched by using electronic databases. Review Manager (RevMan) was used to evaluate the association of BMI and AKI by considering the odd ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). Sensitivity analysis and publication bias were assessed.

Results: A total of 69,190 participants were obtained from 15 included studies. The pooled results show that the overall AKI incidence was 24.9%. OR of AKI in obese, overweight, and underweight were 1.22, 95% CI: 0.98 to 1.52, 1.2, 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.42, and 0.9, 95% CI: 0.78 to 1.02 respectively. AKI mortality was associated with underweight group with OR of 1.45, 95% CI: 1.04 to 2.01. AKI stages were statistically insignificant.

Conclusion: High incidence of AKI and high AKI mortality rate are associated with elevated BMI and low BMI respectively, hence awareness and control measures on BMI should be taken into account to prevent AKI burden. Further studies are recommended.

Keywords: AKI; BMI; Clinical outcome

Abbreviations

AKI: Acute Kidney Disease; APACHE: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; BMI: Body Mass Index; BUN: Blood Urea Nitrogen; CI: Confidence Interval; eGFR: estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; LOS: Length of Stay; ICU: Intensive Care Unit; OR: Odd Ratio; PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses; PROSPERO: International Prospective Register of Systematic; SD: Standard deviation

Background

Despite BMI's consideration as a tool for evaluating the nutritional status, its increment remains associated with different health comorbidities such as cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes, and chronic kidney diseases [1-5].

The impact of overweight and obesity as a global epidemic is intense. BMI average is raising over 0.4 to 0.5 kg/ m2 in each decade worldwide [6]. It has been stated that 39% of adults were overweight in 2016. In 2020, 39 million of under 5 years old were overweight or obese, and the trend estimates that 2.7 billion adults will be overweight in 2050 globally [7-9]. In USA, the severe obesity folded over 9.2% from 2000 to 2018 [10]. In similar vein, a study carried out in England reports that overweight rate is increasing up to 40% in men [11]. Based on the aforementioned studies, a growing rate of BMI in global and regional is alarming. A rationale for researchers to explore the association of BMI and other diseases. In the past decades, obesity-related nephropathy has been recognized due to several factors including type 2 diabetes, hypertension, intraglomerular pressure, and glomerulomegaly resulting in chronic kidney diseases [12]. Currently, findings show that AKI-obesity is associated a high number of patients in intensive care unit (ICU) [13]. 25% of ICU patients are obese with OR of 1.89 compared to general population [14]. So far, the confounding results have been found. Some studies established that more BMI is correlated with high prevalence of AKI and ICU- mortality compared to normal BMI, whereas, others proved that high mortality rate exists in underweight compared to overweight [15,16]. Therefore, the current meta-analysis aimed to scrutinize the research evidence regarding the association of BMI and AKI as the outcome of critically ill patients which remains inconsistent.

Methodology

Protocol and registration

This meta-analysis was conducted in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [17]. The protocol was registered in International Prospective Register of Systematic (PROSPERO) database (Registration number: CRD42021272156).

Searching strategies

An electronic search was conducted in Pubmed, Embase, Medline, Google Scholar, and Scopus databases for retrieving the

Citation: Nsengimana B, Guo Y, Jin Y, Wei W and Ji S. Relationship of Body Mass Index and Clinical Outcomes in Patients with Acute Kidney Injury: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Int J Nutr Sci. 2022; 7(1): 1062.

Table 1: Details of the included studies.

Author, Year, Reference	Study design	Country	Population n	Number with BMI/total patients (%)	Aim of the study	Comorbidities	Outcomes
Vasquez 2020 [19]	Prospective cohort study	USA	463	463/553 (84%)	BMI and AKI after severe trauma	Hypertension, Diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, and congestive heart failure	-
Zou 2017 [20]	Retrospective study	China	8,455	8,455/13083 (65%)	BMI and AKI after cardiac surgery	Hypertension and Diabetes mellitus,	AKI mortality, duration of mechanical ventilation, LOS in ICU, and LOS in hospital
Ju 2018 [21]	Retrospective study	Korea	468	468	BMI as AKI predictor in critically ill patients	diabetes mellitus, hypertension; cardiovascular disease; liver cirrhosis; chronic kidney disease; and acute respiratory distress syndrome	APACHE II score, SOFA score, ICU admission, MV duration, ICU LOS, hospital LOS, ICU death, and hospital death
Argalious 2017 [22]	Retrospective	USA	8,543	8,543/121,745 (7%)	BMI and AKI after laparoscopic surgery	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension; coronary artery disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	AKI and hospital mortality
Park 2017 [23]	Retrospective study	Korea	203	203/334 (61%)	BMI and AKI in liver transplantation recipients	hepatitis B virus; hepatitis C virus, Primary biliary cirrhosis, Autoimmune hepatitis, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus	AKI incidence, ICU stay, hospital stay, and hospital mortality
Kim 2018 [24]	Observational study	Korea	1,144	1144/2391 (48%)	BMI and AKI in renal replacement therapy	Cancer, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, myocardiac infarction, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular attack, peripheral vascular disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	AKI , APACHE II, and SOFA
Wang 2019 [25]	Retrospective cohort study	China	1120	1120/1271 (88.1%)	BMI and AKI in renal replacement therapy	Myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension	-
Kim 2017 [26]	Observational study	Korea	212	212/573 (36.9)	BMI and AKI in renal replacement therapy	Diabetes mellitus, hypertension, congestive heart failure, cerebrovascular attack, and cancer	Mortality, hospital LOS, and ICU LOS
Liu 2021 [27]	Retrospective cohort study	China	115	115/137 (83.9)	BMI and AKI after aortic arch surgery	Cerebrovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and kidney malperfusion	postoperative AKI, Length of ICU, length of in hospital, and hospital mortality
Liu 2018 [28]	Retrospective cohort study		12,555	12555/35474 (35.3%)	BMI and AKI	Hypertension, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease	AKI, mortality within 90 days of admission, and length of stay
MacLaughlin 2021 [29]	Prospective multisite cohort study	USA	1477	1477/1603 (92.1%)	BMI and Chronic kidney disease after AKI	Diabetes, chronic heart failure, and cardiovascular disease	AKI stages, ICU, and hospital mortality
Gameiro 2018 [30]	Retrospective cohort study	Portugal	456	456/722 (63.1%)	Obesity and AKI in patients with sepsis	Hypertension, diabetes, and infection	AKI, LOS in hospital, LOS in ICU, ICU mortality, and hospital mortality
Sabaz 2021 [13]	Retrospective cohort study	Turkey	4,459	4459/7227 (61.6%)	BMI on AKI and ICU mortality	Hypertension, Diabetes, Cerebrovascular disease, Malignancy, Hepatic disease, Psychiatric disorder, Dementia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic renal failure, coronary artery disease, and gastrointestinal bleeding	AKI, mechanic ventilation, APACHE 2, SOFA, and LOS in ICU
Zhou 2020 [31]	Retrospective cohort study	China	244	244/341 (71.5%)	Overweight and AKI after liver transplantation	Hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic kidney disease, encephalopathy, ascites, and liver disease	AKI and hospital mortality
Wang 2021 [32]	Retrospective cohort study	China	15174	-	BMI and AKI in critically ill patients	Congestive heart failure, cardiac arrhythmias, valvular disease, hypertension, renal disease, Liver disease, uncomplicated and complicated diabetes, metastatic cancer and coagulopathy,	AKI stage, SOFA, ICU LOS, and mortality
Moon 2018 [33]	Retrospective cohort study	Korea	3018	3018/3089 (97.7%)	Obesity and AKI after coronary artery bypass grafting	Hypertension, and diabetes mellitus,	AKI
Pedersen 2016 [35]	Regional cohort study	Denmark	13529	11411/16111 (70.8)	BMI and AKI after hip fracture surgery	Chronic kidney disease, diabetes, and Charlson comorbidity	AKI, mortality and hospital stay

articles published until August, 2021. The search term with Boalean Operators used were: "BMI" OR "body mass index" OR "overweight" OR "obese" OR "normal weight" OR "underweight" AND "acute kidney disease" OR "AKI" OR "kidney injury" OR "kidney failure". The language applied was English.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The study included the original articles that evaluated the association of BMI and AKI. The first criterion was if the participants were classified into underweight, normal weight, overweight, and obese. The second criterion was the analyzed outcomes which were included but not limited to, AKI development, AKI stage, intensive care unit stay, time used to stay in hospital, comorbidities (hypertension and diabetes mellitus). The excluded studies in metaanalysis were reviews, case reports, newspapers, conference papers, comments, and other studies that were not published in English and those conducted on the participants who are under 18 age old.

Study selection

Based on eligibility criteria, two independent reviewers screened the selected studies. They firstly removed the duplicates and other studies based on exclusion criteria by screening the titles and abstracts. The full-text of remaining studies were further revised for checking their eligibilities. Any discrepancies between the two investigators were solved by a third reviewer in mutual consensus.

Data extraction and quality assessment

The data were extracted by two independent authors based on a standardized form which is recommended by Cochrane. The extracted information was year of publication, design of study, country, participants' demographic features (age, height, weight, and gender), and outcomes: glomerulus filtration rate features, AKI mortality, AKI stage, LOS in ICU, LOS in hospital, acute physiology and chronic health evaluation (APACHE II). Participants group was classified as underweight, normal, overweight, and obese based on BMI <18.5kg/m², BMI ≥18.5<25kg/m², BMI ≥25<30kg/m², BMI ≥30kg/ m² respectively. Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment tool was used to assess the quality of the cohorts and the risk of bias [18], more than six stars were considered as high quality to meet the eligibility criteria in meta-analysis. A funnel plot was used to evaluate the publication bias (more or equal to six included studies were considered).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was executed by RevMan 5.0.25 (Nordic Cochrane Centre, Cochrane Collaboration, UK). Mann-Whitney U test was used to evaluate the hypothesis and P <0.05 was considered as statistical significance. For continuous and dichotomous data, mean difference and OR in 95% CI were calculated respectively. A random effect model was used to assess the pooled OR and 95% CI. I² was used to assess the heterogeneity, where 0% to 40%, 30% to 60%, 50% to 90%, and 90% to 100% was considered as minimal, moderate, substantial, considerable heterogeneity respectively, and P <0.1 designated the significance. Sensitivity analysis was used to assess the consistence of results.

Results

Study flow and characteristics

A total of 284,212 articles were retrieved through online searching the different databases including PubMed (169,107), Embase (57,726),



Austin Publishing Group

Table 2: Details on patients' baseline features.

Author year, Ref	BMI (kg/m²) categories	Age, years, median (SD)	Hypertension (%)	Albumin baseline,	UA baseline, µmol/L	eGFR baseline,	SCr baseline, µmol/L	BUN baseline,	Height, cm	Body weight,	Male, n (%)	Diabetes mellitus,
	Underweight	84 (78-89)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	168 (13.2%)	70 (5.5%)
Pedersen	Normal	84 (78-89)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1817 (27.6%)	651 (9.9%)
2016 [35]	Overweight	82 (76-87)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	938 (33.9%)	480 (17.3%)
	Obese	80 (74-86)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	201 (25.7%)	206 (26.3%)
	Normal	52.6 ± 14.1	1,042 (23.6)	40.2 ± 3.6	354.7 ± 117.4	91.9 ± 25.1	77.4 ± 25.3	6.6 ± 2.9	163.4 ± 7.2	58.0 ± 6.6	2,251 (51.0)	292 (6.6)
	Overweight	55.3 ± 12.2	59.4 (16.7)	40.3 ± 3.3	377.8 ± 141.8	89.3 ± 23.1	80.9 ± 24.0	6.3 ± 2.2	166.4 ± 7.0	71.2 ± 6.8	1,644 (65.1)	307 (12.2)
Zou 2017 [20]	Obese	55.0 ± 11.9	344 (48.9)	40.4 ± 3.1	396.0 ± 109.5	87.3 ± 23.1	83.0 ± 24.4	6.3 ± 2.0	166.1 ± 8.0	83.0 ± 8.2	471 (66.9)	111 (15.8)
	Underweight	47.8 ± 16.6	97 (12.0)	39.8 ± 4.1	342.0 ± 114.6	98.2 ± 28.6	73.4 ± 25.0	6.6 ± 3.0	163.6 ± 7.3	46.0 ± 5.2	340 (42.2)	38 (4.7)
	Normal	68.6 ± 14.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	197 (41)	94 (33.0)
h. 0040 [04]	Overweight	64.9 ± 13.8	44 (55.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47 (7)	34 (42.5)
JU 2018 [21]	Obese	57.0 ± 15.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	116 (63.4%)	-
	Underweight	71.3 ± 12.7	34 (33.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62 (13)	27 (26.5)
	Normal	54 ± 17	461 (31)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	391 (26)	107 (7)
	Overweight	57 ± 15	7354 (44)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	644 (39)	243 (15)
Argalious 2017 [22]	Obese	56 ± 14	700 (56)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	394 (31)	253 (20)
	Morbidly obese	49.3 ± 13.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	23 (31.1%)	26 (35.1%)
	Underweight	49 ± 17	21 (21)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20 (20)	2 (2)
Deal: 0047 [00]	Normal	54.38 ± 7.33	14 (18.9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58 (78.4)	21 (28.4)
Park 2017 [23]	Underweight	53.68 ± 8.91	9 (24.3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26 (70.3)	14 (17.0%)
	Normal	65.0±13.6	217 (53.8)	2.6±0.6	35 (8.7)	33.1±22.3	2.5±1.3	35 (8.7)	-	-	241 (59.8)	140 (34.7)
	Overweight	63.9±14.0	110 (50.0)	2.6±0.6	21 (9.5)	32.7±24.4	2.9±1.9	21 (9.5)	-	-	155 (70.4)	79 (35.9)
Kim 2018 [24]	Obese	61.3±14.5	233 (55.3)	2.6±0.6	46 (10.9)	29.0±18.8	2.9±1.7	46 (10.9)	-	-	248 (58.7)	153 (36.3)
	Underweight	62.3±17.2	40 (40.4)	2.5±0.5	13 (13.1)	33.2±18.0	2.6±1.5	13 (13.1)	-	-	61 (61.6)	27 (27.6)
	Normal	64.85±13.73	216 (53.87)	-	-	-	32.44±22.51	55.83±28.07	-	-	242 (60.3)	138 (34.41)
Wang	Overweight	63.94±13.61	113 (50.45)	-	-	-	31.75±22.63	56.79±31.36	-	-	154 (68.7)	83 (37.05)
2019 [25]	Obese	61.24±14.59	224 (55.31)	-	-	-	28.86±18.52	54.57±29.82	-	-	238 (58.7)	146 (36.05)
	Underweight	63.10±17.48	38 (42.22)	-	-	-	35.22±22.51	60.70±35.26	-	-	53 (58.8)	22 (24.72)
	Normal	63 ± 19.5	1579 (36.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2329 (53.7)	1039 (23.9)
Liu 2018 [27]	Overweight	62 ± 17.3	1519 (38.9)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2264 (58.0)	1072 (27.5)
	Obese	57 ± 15.9	1144 (44.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1306 (50.5)	837 (32.4)
	Underweight	70 ± 19.4	653 (37.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	856 (49.6)	341 (19.7)
	Normal	64.3 (16.0)	-	-	-	-	70 (31)	-	-	-	88 (65.6)	43 (32)
MacLaughlin 2021 [29]	Overweight	65.9 (12.7)	-	-	-	-	64 (25)	-	-	-	174 (78)	87 (39)
	Obese	62.3 (11.2)	-	-	-	-	65 (26)	-	-	-	248 (62.6)	253 (64)
	Underweight	61.7 (15.1)	-	-	-	-	74 (36)	-	-	-	9 (56.2)	4 (25)
Gameiro 2018	Normal	63.9±16.5	141 (43)	1.9±0.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	203 (61.3)	63 (19)
[30]	Obese	64.4±14.8	71 (56.8)	1.9±0.5		-	-	-	-	-	61 (48.8)	40 (32)
	Normal	57.88±21.53	495 (27.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1153 (64)	270 (15.0)
Sabaz 2021 [13]	Overweight	61.16±18.0	662 (37.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1088 (61.5)	397 (22.4)
	Obese	64.69±15.53	481 (54.2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	258 (29.1)	326 (36.7)
Zhou 2020 [31]	All participants	54.8 (9.6)	69 (28.04)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	244 (99)	86 (34.9)
	Normal	81.42 ± 61.16	749 (15.99)	-	-	-	-	26.39 ± 21.27	-	-	2683 (57.29)	1087 (23.2)
Wang 2021	Overweight	74.72 ± 47.22	815 (16.03)	-	-	-	-	26.03 ± 20.38	-	-	3412 (67.11)	1481 (29.1)
[32]	Obese	66.80 ± 31.95	824 (16.38)	-	-	-	-	28.21 ± 21.77	-	-	2973 (59.12)	2125 (42.2)
	Underweight	87.05 ± 71.09	55 (14.55)	-	-	-	-	26.59 ± 21.97	-	-	153 (40.48)	65 (17.1)

Austin Publishing Group

	Normal	66.7 ± 9.88	53.4	3.7 ± 0.46		69.7 ± 20.74	-		-	-	836 (74.0)	43.2
Moon 2018	Overweight	64.7 ± 9.48	60.4	3.8 ± 0.65		70.2 ± 19.63	-	-	-	-	493 (77.4)	45
[33]	Obese	60.0 ± 11.60	72.7	3.9 ± 0.60		73.4 ± 20.06	-	-	-	-	829 (70.2)	49.6
	Underweight	70.8 ± 9.95	53.5	3.7 ± 0.60		66.0 ± 23.59	-	-	-	-	44 (62)	28.2
Vasquez 2020 [19]	All participants	42 (28-60)	127 (27.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	350 (75.5)	31 (6.6)
Liu 2021 [27]	All participants	48.7±10.4	92 (80)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	86 (74.7)	7 (6.08)
Kim 2017 [26]	All participants	61.8 ± 13.2	100 (47.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	138 (65.09)	58 (27.3)

Medline (3,042), Google Scholar (45,900), and Scopus (8,437). A total of 115,051 duplicates were removed, resulting in 169,161 articles which screened for the title and abstract. Subsequently, 229 articles were identified after removing 50,783 narrative reviews and 118,149 irrelevant articles. Among 55 full articles which checked for eligibility, 39 articles were excluded due to the lack of the related report of BMI and AKI outcomes. 17 articles were included in systematic review and 15 articles were considered in meta-analysis (Figure 1).

A total of 69,190 participants were included in these studies which carried out in 7 countries namely China (n=4), Denmark (n=1) Korea (n=4), Portugal (n=1), Turkey (n=1), Singapore (n=1), and USA (n=3). Study design in all studies was retrospective, except one which was a prospective study (Table 1).

The male participants who included in the studies were 33,478/69,190 (48.3%), and the range of mean age was 47.8-87.05. More about patients' baseline features including albumin, uric acid, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), serum creatinine, and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) baseline, height, weight, and comorbidities like hypertension, and diabetes mellitus were summarized in Table 2.

Quality assessment

The Newcastle-Ottawa tool was used to determine the quality of each eligible study. The maximum star designed for each study was nine: four stars for selection, two stars for comparability, three stars for the outcome. A study with greater or equal to seven stars was considered as high quality. Among seventeen studies, seven studies [13,23,28-30,32,35] scored eight points, eight studies [19,21,22,24-26,29,31] scored seven points and two studies [27,33] scored six points (Supplementary Table 1). Meta-analysis included fourteen study based on the quality scale. There was no obvious risk of publication bias which was assessed based on funnel plot (Supplementary 1 Figure 1).

Overall analysis

Based on BMI, the current systematic review assessed the different patient's outcomes in the included studies including AKI incidence, AKI mortality, length of stay in intensive care (LOS in ICU), and APACHE II score. Among these studies, the highest incidence of AKI, AKI mortality, ICU mortality, Hospital mortality, highest APACHE II score, long stay in ICU, and long stay in hospital were found in obese population (92.8 %), underweight population (9.5%), overweight population (43.8%), underweight population (70.7%), overweight population (35 (14–222)) respectively, as they are summarized in Table 3. Table 4 summarizes the percentage of comorbidities (hypertension and diabetes mellitus) in different groups. The overall percentage of hypertension and diabetes mellitus

was 34.4% and 20.03% respectively. The highest percentage of hypertension (46.2%) and diabetes (34.3%) was in obese group. The percentage of hypertension in underweight, normal-weight, and overweight was 19.9%, 26.9%, and 31.4% respectively. The percentage of diabetes mellitus in underweight, normal-weight, and overweight was 5.9%, 13.4%, and 19.9% respectively.

BMI and AKI

The incidence of AKI among the included studies in metaanalysis was 24.9%. The subgroups analysis shows that the highest incidence was 30.1% in overweight population, and the smallest was 18% in underweight group (Table 5).

The risk of developing AKI in the overweight group was more likely than normal-weight group, OR was 1.2, 95% CI: 1.01 to 1.42, P=0.03), there was substantial heterogeneity among overweight studies with I²=78%, P=0.0001. The association of AKI in obese group was more likely higher than in normal group, even it is not statistically significant, OR was 1.22, 95% CI: 0.98 to 1.52, P=0.08, there was substantial heterogeneity with I2=86%, P=0.00001. The results in underweight group show that 10% were less likely to develop AKI compared to normal-weight group, even it was not statistically significant, OR was 0.9, 95% CI: 0.78 to 1.02, P=0.11, with a minimal heterogeneity, I²=7%, P=0.38 as shown in Figure 2a-2c. A sensitivity analysis were conducted after removing the outlier in underweight and overweight group, results remain consistent to the primary findings. However, in the overweight group, the sensitivity analysis shows the statistical significant results with OR of 1.32, 95% CI: 1.16 to 1.5, I²=36%, P=0.0001 (Supplementary 2 Figure 1).

BMI and AKI stage 1: The overall analysis of BMI and AKI stage 1 in six and seven included studies shows that 4% underweight and 5% obese patients were less likely to experience AKI stage 1 compared with normal population, with OR of 0.96, 95% CI: 0.74 to 1.6, P=0.77 and 0.95, 95% CI: 0.74 to 1.22, P=0.69, but, both findings were not statistically significant. The results reveal that overweight patients were slightly more likely to experience AKI stage 1 compared to normal-weight, even if it was not statically significant, OD was 1.01, 95% CI: 0.91 to 1.11, p=0.90. There was a moderate heterogeneity in underweight group with I²=25%, P=0.63, and a substantial heterogeneity in obese group with I²=81%, P=0.0001 (Figure 3a-3c).

BMI and AKI stage 2: The overall meta-analysis of BMI and AKI stage 2 demonstrates that 8% in underweight (seven studies) and overweight (eight studies) sub-groups are less likely to develop AKI stage 2, but not statistically significant, the OR in underweight and overweight group were 0.92, 95% CI: 0.57 to 1.46, P=0.71 and 0.92, 95% CI: 0.47 to 1.77, P=0.79, respectively. Conversely, obese

Austin Publishing Group

Table 3: Incidence of AKI and outcomes.

		AKI-RRT			ICU		hospital				AKI	AKI	AKI	AKI	Renal	
Author year	BMI (kg/m²)	Incidence	AKI mortality	MV-free	mortality	LOS in ICU	length of	Hospital	AKI-RRT	AKI (%)	STAGE 1	STAGE 2	STAGE 3	stage	replacement	APACHE II
	categories	(%)	(%)	days	(%)		stay	mortality	mortality		(%)	(%)	(%)	2-3 (%)	therapy	score
	Underweight	-	-	-	-	-	9 (5-13)	24 (23.1%)	-	128 (10)	96 (7.5%)	22 (1.7%)	10 (0.8%)	-	-	-
	(n=1272) Normal									782	572					
Pedersen,	(n=6588)	-	-	-	-	-	10 (5-14) 6.6	97 (14.1%)	-	(11.9)	(8.7%)	158 (2.4%)	52 (0.8%)	-	-	-
2016	Overweight	-	-	-	-	-	10 (6-14)	35 (10.7%)	-	345	249	69 (2.5%)	27 (1.0%)	-	-	-
	(n=2769)									(12.4)	(9.0%) 92					
	Obese (n=782)	-	-	-	-	-	11 (7-16)	20 (15.2%)	-	(17.9)	(11.8%)	33 (4.2%)	15 (1.9%)	-	-	-
	Normal	74/1,368	82/1,368 (6.0)	1 (1, 2)	-	40 (20, 88)	13 (10, 18)	-	45/74	1,368	1,010	205 (15.0)	153 (11.2)	358	-	-
	Querusiaht	(3.4)	25/022 (2.0)	4 (4 0)		20 (20 .00)	44 (44 40)		16/44	922	667	454 (40.7)	404 (44 0)	255		
Zou 2017	Overweight	44/922 (4.8)	35/922 (3.8)	1 (1, 2)	-	39 (20, 86)	14 (11, 18)		(36.4)	(36.5)	(72.3)	154 (16.7)	101 (11.0)	(27.7)	-	-
	Obese	17/324 (5.2)	14/324 (4.3)	1 (1, 2)	-	40 (19, 93)	14 (11, 18)	-	(58.8)	324	(68.8)	67 (20.7)	34 (10.5)	(31.2)	-	-
	Underweight	13/241 (5.4)	23/241 (9.5)	1 (1 2)	-	44 (20, 95)	14 (10, 18)		9/13 (69.2)	241	161	51 (21 2)	29 (12 0)	80	-	-
	Chaormolgin	10/211 (0.1)	20/211 (0.0)	. (., 2)		(20, 00)	(10, 10)		0,10 (00.2)	(29.9)	(66.8)	01 (21:2)	20 (12:0)	(33.2)		
	Normal	121 (42.3)	9.8 ± 19.8	10.3 ± 21.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	66 (23.1)	18.8 ± 8.8
	Overweight	-		7.4 ± 10.5	35 (43.8)	6.9 ± 9.8		40 (50.0)		29	-	-	-	-	28 (35)	21.4 ± 10.0
Ju 2018					. ,					(36.3)					. ,	
	Obese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Underweight	-	-	10.7 ± 11.8	41 (40.2)	11.7 ± 13.4	-	48 (47.1)	-	10 (9.8)	-	-	-	-	19 (18.6)	16.7 ± 7.5
	Normal							0 (0 6)		24 (2.2)	27 (1.9)	E (0.2)	2 (0 1)			
	Normai	-	-	-	-	-	-	9 (0.0)	-	34 (2.3)	27 (1.0)	5 (0.3)	2 (0.1)	-	-	-
	Overweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	9 (0.5)	-	53 (3.2)	42 (2.5)	8 (0.5)	3 (0.2)	-	-	-
Argalious	Obese	-	-	-	-	-	-	5 (0.4)	-	37 (2.9)	28 (2.2)	5 (0.4)	4 (0.3)	-	-	-
2017																
	Morbidly obese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Underweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 (1)	-	4 (4)	3 (3)	1 (1)	0	-	-	-
	Normal	-	-	-	-	10.0 + 8.8	26 (15-110)	2 (2.7)	-	30	23 (31.1)	7 (9.5)	0	-	-	-
Park 2017						12 41 +		- ()		(40.5)		. (515)	-			
	Underweight	-	-	-	-	10.96	35 (14-222)	2 (5.4)	-	(35.1)	10 (27.0)	2 (5.4)	1 (2.7)	-	-	-
	Normal	-	-	-	-	9 (3-20)	20 (7-46)	256 (63.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27.4±8.0
	Querensieht					C (2 45)	00 (0 5 45 5)	400 (04.0)								07.5 . 0.4
Kim 2018	Overweight	-	-	-	-	6 (3-15)	23 (0.5-45.5)	130 (01.8)	-	-	-	•	-	-	-	27.5±9.1
	Obese	-		-	-	8 (3-16)	21 (8-41)	239 (56.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.7±8.4
	Underweight	-	-	-	-	5 (2-14)	8 (2-30)	70 (70.7)	-		-	-	-	-	-	26.4±8.3
				319		- ()	- (122	279			
	Normal	-	-	(79.55%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(30.42%)	(69.58%)	-	-	27.86±7.47
	Overweight	-		176	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	67	157	-	-	27.64±8.57
Wang 2019				(78.57%) 313								(29.91%) 90	(70.09%) 315			
	Obese	-	•	(77.28%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(22.22%)	(77.78%)	-	-	26.77±8.13
	Underweight	-	-	70 (77.78%)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	76	-	-	25.81±7.55
	Normal				414 (0.5)	E (2.10)				564		(13.3078)	(04.4478)			
	Normai	-	-	-	414 (9.5)	5 (3-10)			-	(35.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overweight	-		-	239 (6.1)	4 (3-8)	-	-	-	(30.4)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Liu 2018	Obese	-	-	-	88 (3.4)	4 (2-7)	-	-	-	279	-	-	-	-	-	-
					,	,				(17.4) 275						
	Underweight	-	-	-	256 (14.8)	7 (4-14)	-	-	-	(17.1)	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Normal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	18 (13%)	16 (12%)	-	-	-
	Oversished										174	DE (440/)	24 (440/)			
MacLaughlin	Overweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(78%)	∠ວ (11%)	24 (11%)	-	-	-
2021	Obese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(67%)	75 (19%)	57 (14%)	-	-	-
	Underweight	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	15 (94%)	0	1 (6%)	-	-	_
										283	. (= 170)	-	(= ,0)			
	Normal	-	-	256 (77.3)	-	81 (24.5)	38.8±39.3	113 (34.1)	-	(85.5)	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Overweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gameiro 2018	Obese	_	_	94 (75.2)		27 (21.6)	326+30.2	40 (32)	_	116				_		
	Obese	-	-	3++ (13.2)		21 (21.0)	J2.0139.3	+0 (32)	-	(92.8)	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Underweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Normal	-	-	4.81 (2.74-	548 (30.4)	5.45 (3-	-	-	-	1172	98 (5.4)	156 (8.7)	918 (51.0)	-	-	24 (17-29)
				11.14)		12.72)				(65.1)	(0.1)	(0)				(., 20)
Sabaz 2021	Overweight	-	-	12.16)	556 (31.4)	13.58)	-	-	-	(64.9)	118 (6.7)	185 (10.4)	846 (47.8)	-	-	25 (19-30)
Jubaz 2021	Obese	-	-	5.89 (2.75-	307 (34.6)	6.81 (3.32-	-	-	-	620	57 (6.4)	101 (11.4)	462 (52.0)	-	-	26 (19-31)
	land of the			12.47)	· · · · ·	13.88)				(69.8)		· · ·				
	Underweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-

Austin Publishing Group

	Normal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
74 2020	Overweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
2nou 2020	Obese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50.50%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Underweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Normal	-	-	-	-	-	-	812 (17.34)	-	-	1079 (23.04)	786 (16.78)	2818 (60.18)	-	-	-
	Overweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	687 (13.51)	-	-	(22.01)	749 (14.73)	3216 (63.26)	-	-	-
Wang 2021	Obese	-	-	-	-	-	-	667 (13.26)	-	-	920	811 (16.13)	3298	-	-	-
	Underweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	71 (18.78)	-	-	98 (25.93)	81 (21.43)	199 (52.65)	-	-	-
	Normal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25.6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maan 2049	Overweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
WOON 2018	Obese	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Underweight	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	29.6	-	-	-	-	-	-



Figure 2a: AKI and Overweight.



Figure 2b: AKI and Obese.



Austin Publishing Group



Figure 3a: AKI stage 1 and Overweight.

Study or Subgroup Argalious 2017	Events 110	Total 5271	Events	Total	Weight	M.H. Random, 95% Cl	M U Dandom 05% Cl
Argalious 2017	110	5271	27			M-ri, runuoni, oo a or	M-H, Kanuolii, 90% Ci
	264		27	1500	13.2%	1.16 [0.76, 1.78]	- - -
MacLaughlin 2021	204	396	100	134	12.8%	0.68 [0.44, 1.06]	
Pedersen 2016	92	782	572	6588	17.8%	1.40 [1.11, 1.77]	-
Sabaz 2021	57	888	98	1801	15.3%	1.19 [0.85, 1.67]	
Wang 2021	920	5029	1079	4683	20.3%	0.75 [0.68, 0.83]	-
Zhou 2020	4	14	46	136	3.6%	0.78 [0.23, 2.63]	
Zou 2017	223	324	1010	1368	17.1%	0.78 [0.60, 1.02]	-
Total (95% CI)		12704		16210	100.0%	0.95 [0.74, 1.22]	•
Total events	1670		2932				
Heterogeneity: Tau² =	0.08; Chi ^a	² = 31.3	6, df = 6 (P	< 0.000	1); I ² = 81	%	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.40 (I	P = 0.69	0			Fa	avours experimental Favours control

Figure 3b: AKI stage 1 and Obese.



Figure 3c: AKI stage 1 and Underweight.

group (eight studies) was statistically insignificant more likely to develop AKI stage 2, OR was 1.24, 95% CI: 0.96 to 1.61, P=0.1. A substantial, considerable, and substantial heterogeneity with $I^2=73\%$, P=0.001, $I^2=98\%$, P=0.00001, and $I^2=78\%$, P=0.0001 were found in underweight, overweight, and obese group respectively (Figure 4a-4c).

BMI and AKI stage 3: The pooled results of BMI and AKI stage 3 of seven studies show that obese population were more likely to develop AKI stage 3 with OR 1.27, 95% CI: 1.06 to 1.51, P=0.008. The other subgroups are also more likely to develop AKI stage 3, but not statistically significant, OR in underweight (seven included studies) and overweight (eight included studies) group were 1.08, 95% CI: 0.71 to 1.65, P=0.72 and 1.06, 95% CI: 0.90, 1.25, P=0.47, respectively. The studies are associated with moderate, moderate to substantial, and substantial heterogeneity with I²=60%, P=0.02, I²=60%, P=0.02, and I²=62%, P=0.01 in obese, underweight, and overweight group respectively (Figure 5a-5c).

BMI and AKI stage 2-3: There was only one study which analyzed the association of BMI and AKI stage 2-3 development. The study

showed that underweight population were more likely to develop AKI stage 2-3, OR was 1.4, 95% CI: 1.04 to 1.88, P=0.02. Moreover, the overweight and obese population were more likely to develop AKI stage 2-3, but not statistically significant, ORs were 1.08, 95% CI: 0.89 to 1.30, p=0.43 and 1.28, 95% CI: 0.98, 1.66, P=0.07 (Figure 6a-6c).

Table 4: Percentage of Comorbidities and BMI

Comorbidities	Sub-group	Event	Total	Percentage
	Underweight	335	1683	19.90%
	Normal-weight	4010	14885	26.90%
Hypertension	Overweight	3996	12705	31.40%
	Obese	6771	14650	46.20%
	Total	15112	43923	34.40%
	Underweight	154	2577	5.90%
	Normal-weight	2246	16786	13.30%
Diabetes mellitus	Overweight	2071	10390	19.90%
	Obese	3576	10403	34.30%
	Total	8047	40156	20.03%

Austin Publishing Group



Figure 4a: AKI stage 2 and Underweight.

	Overw	eight	Normal v	veight		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Argalious 2017	8	1672	5	1500	9.7%	1.44 [0.47, 4.40]	+ •
MacLaughlin 2021	25	223	18	134	12.0%	0.81 [0.43, 1.56]	
Pedersen 2016	69	2769	158	6588	13.2%	1.04 [0.78, 1.38]	+
Sabaz 2021	185	1770	156	1801	13.3%	1.23 [0.98, 1.54]	-
Nang 2019	67	224	122	401	13.0%	0.98 [0.68, 1.39]	+
Nang 2021	749	15084	786	4683	13.5%	0.26 [0.23, 0.29]	•
Zhou 2020	21	86	26	136	12.0%	1.37 [0.71, 2.62]	- +
Zou 2017	154	922	205	1368	13.3%	1.14 [0.91, 1.43]	+
fotal (95% CI)		22750		16611	100.0%	0.92 [0.47, 1.77]	•
Fotal events	1278		1476				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.83; Ch	i ^z = 314.	09, df = 7 (P < 0.00	001); I ^z =	98% !	
Fest for overall effect:	Z= 0.26 ((P = 0.79)	ກໍ່ `				U.U1 U.1 1 10 100

Figure 4b: AKI stage 2 and Overweight.

	Obes	e	Normal v	veight		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Argalious 2017	28	5271	5	1500	5.4%	1.60 [0.62, 4.14]	- +•
/lacLaughlin 2021	75	396	18	134	10.4%	1.51 [0.86, 2.63]	+
Pedersen 2016	33	782	158	6588	13.9%	1.79 [1.22, 2.63]	
Sabaz 2021	101	888	156	1801	16.5%	1.35 [1.04, 1.76]	-
Vang 2019	90	405	122	401	15.3%	0.65 [0.48, 0.90]	
Vang 2021	811	5029	786	4683	19.2%	0.95 [0.86, 1.06]	+
Zhou 2020	5	14	26	136	3.9%	2.35 [0.73, 7.60]	+
Zou 2017	67	324	205	1368	15.5%	1.48 [1.09, 2.01]	
iotal (95% Cl)		13109		16611	100.0%	1.24 [0.96, 1.61]	•
Fotal events	1210		1476				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.09; Chi ^a	² = 31.71	7, df = 7 (P	< 0.000	1); l² = 78	%	
Fest for overall effect:	Z = 1.65 (I	P = 0.10)			F	avours experimental Favours control

BMI and AKI patients with clinical outcomes

Figur

BMI and AKI mortality: The study showed that underweight population were more likely to experience AKI mortality, OR was 1.44, 95% CI: 1.04 to 2.00, P=0.03. However, overweight and obese population which were less likely to be associated with AKI mortality, even it was statistically insignificant, ORs were 0.73, 95% CI: 0.53 to 1.01, P=0.05 and 0.71, 95% CI: 0.40 to 1.27, P=0.24 respectively. A minimal, and substantial heterogeneity with I²=0%, P=0.46, I²=22%, P=0.26, and I²=82%, P=0.02 were found in underweight, overweight, and obese group respectively (Figure 7a-7c).

BMI and LOS in ICU: The pooled results of two included studies show that 31% of obese patients stay in ICU for a short period of time compared to normal-weight group, OR 0.69, 95% CI: 0.37 to 1.01, p=0.0001. Similarly, underweight (four studies) and overweight (three) group were also more likely to stay in ICU for short time compared to normal-weight, but it was no statistically significant, OR: 0.25, 95% CI: -0.86 to 1.36, p=0.66 and -1.0, 95% CI: -3.23 to

1.23, p=0.38, respectively. There was A minimal, and moderate heterogeneity with $I^2=0\%$, P=0.84, $I^2=9\%$, P=0.35, and $I^2=58\%$, P=0.09 were found in obese underweight, and overweight group respectively (Figure 8a-8c).

BMI and LOS in hospital: Compared with normal weight group, findings of four included studies (statistically insignificant) reveal that 96% of underweight are not associated with LOS in hospital with OR of 0.04, 95% CI: -1.79 to 1.87, P=0.96. Moreover, results of three

Table 5: Incidence of AKI in sub-groups.

Sub-group	Event (AKI)	Total	Percentage
Underweight	429	2404	18%
Normal-weight	4184	16441	25.40%
Overweight	3064	10157	30.10%
Obese	2393	11412	21%
Overall total	10070	40414	24.90%

Austin Publishing Group



Figure 5a: AKI stage 3 and Obese.

	Underw	eight	Normal w	veight		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Argalious 2017	0	100	2	1500	1.8%	2.98 [0.14, 62.53]	
MacLaughlin 2021	1	16	16	134	3.6%	0.49 [0.06, 3.98]	
Pedersen 2016	10	1272	52	6588	17.8%	1.00 [0.50, 1.96]	-+-
Wang 2019	76	90	279	401	19.6%	2.37 [1.29, 4.36]	_
Wang 2021	199	378	2818	4683	30.5%	0.74 [0.60, 0.91]	-
Zhou 2020	0	8	13	136	2.0%	0.54 [0.03, 9.85]	
Zou 2017	29	241	153	1368	24.8%	1.09 [0.71, 1.66]	+
Total (95% CI)		2105		14810	100.0%	1.08 [0.71, 1.65]	★
Total events	315		3333				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.14; Chi ^a	² = 15.14	4, df = 6 (P	= 0.02);	I ≈ = 60%	t, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.36 (I	P = 0.72	0			Fa	vours experimental Favours control

Figure 5b: AKI stage 3 and Underweight.

Study or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% Cl M-H, Random, 95% Cl Argalious 2017 3 1672 2 1500 0.8% 1.35 [0.22, 8.07]		Overwe	eight	Normal w	veight		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Argalious 2017 3 1672 2 1500 0.8% 1.35 [0.22, 8.07] MacLaughlin 2021 24 223 16 134 4.9% 0.89 [0.45, 1.74] Pedersen 2016 27 2769 52 6588 8.6% 1.24 [0.78, 1.97] Sabaz 2021 846 1770 918 1801 25.0% 0.88 [0.77, 1.00] Wang 2019 157 224 279 401 12.3% 1.02 [0.72, 1.46] Wang 2021 3216 5084 2818 4683 2.7.8% 1.14 [1.05, 1.24] - Zhou 2020 20 86 13 136 4.0% 2.87 [1.34, 6.13] - Zou 2017 101 922 153 1368 16.6% 0.98 [0.75, 1.28] - fotal (95% Cl) 12750 16611 100.0% 1.06 [0.90, 1.25] - Fotal events 4394 4251 - - - - - - Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 18.39, df = 7 (P = 0.01); I ² =	Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% C	I M-H, Random, 95% Cl
MacLaughlin 2021 24 223 16 134 4.9% 0.89 [0.45, 1.74] Pedersen 2016 27 2769 52 6588 8.6% 1.24 [0.78, 1.97] Sabaz 2021 846 1770 918 1801 25.0% 0.88 [0.77, 1.00] Wang 2019 157 224 279 401 12.3% 1.02 [0.72, 1.46] Wang 2021 3216 5084 2818 4683 27.8% 1.14 [1.05, 1.24] Zhou 2020 20 86 13 136 4.0% 2.87 [1.34, 6.13] Zou 2017 101 922 153 1368 16.6% 0.98 [0.75, 1.28] Fotal (95% CI) 12750 16611 100.0% 1.06 [0.90, 1.25] Fotal events 4394 4251	Argalious 2017	3	1672	2	1500	0.8%	1.35 [0.22, 8.07	"]
Pedersen 2016 27 2769 52 6588 8.6% 1.24 [0.78, 1.97] Sabaz 2021 846 1770 918 1801 25.0% 0.88 [0.77, 1.00] Wang 2019 157 224 279 401 12.3% 1.02 [0.72, 1.46] Wang 2021 3216 5084 2818 4683 27.8% 1.14 [1.05, 1.24] Zhou 2020 20 86 13 136 4.0% 2.87 [1.34, 6.13] Zou 2017 101 922 153 1368 16.6% 0.98 [0.75, 1.28] Total (95% CI) 12750 16611 100.0% 1.06 [0.90, 1.25] Fotal events 4394 4251 4251 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 18.39, df = 7 (P = 0.01); i ² = 62% 0.01 0.4 1.0 1.00	MacLaughlin 2021	24	223	16	134	4.9%	0.89 [0.45, 1.74	l] ————————————————————————————————————
Sabaz 2021 846 1770 918 1801 25.0% 0.88 [0.77, 1.00] Wang 2019 157 224 279 401 12.3% 1.02 [0.72, 1.46] Wang 2021 3216 5084 2818 4683 27.8% 1.14 [1.05, 1.24] Zhou 2020 20 86 13 136 4.0% 2.87 [1.34, 6.13] Zou 2017 101 922 153 1368 16.6% 0.98 [0.75, 1.28] fotal (95% Cl) 12750 16611 100.0% 1.06 [0.90, 1.25] Fotal events 4394 4251 4251 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 18.39, df = 7 (P = 0.01); I ² = 62% 0.01 0.4 1.0 1.00	Pedersen 2016	27	2769	52	6588	8.6%	1.24 [0.78, 1.97	"] -
Wang 2019 157 224 279 401 12.3% 1.02 [0.72, 1.46] Wang 2021 3216 5084 2818 4683 27.8% 1.14 [1.05, 1.24] Zhou 2020 20 86 13 136 4.0% 2.87 [1.34, 6.13] Zou 2017 101 922 153 1368 16.6% 0.98 [0.75, 1.28] Total (95% CI) 12750 16611 100.0% 1.06 [0.90, 1.25] Fotal events 4394 4251 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 18.39, df = 7 (P = 0.01); l ² = 62% 0.01 0.4 1.0 1.00	Sabaz 2021	846	1770	918	1801	25.0%	0.88 [0.77, 1.00	uj 🗧
Wang 2021 3216 5084 2818 4683 27.8% 1.14 [1.05, 1.24] Zhou 2020 20 86 13 136 4.0% 2.87 [1.34, 6.13] Zou 2017 101 922 153 1368 16.6% 0.98 [0.75, 1.28] Fotal (95% CI) 12750 16611 100.0% 1.06 [0.90, 1.25] Fotal events 4394 4251 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 18.39, df = 7 (P = 0.01); i ² = 62% 0.01 0.4 1.0 1.00	Wang 2019	157	224	279	401	12.3%	1.02 [0.72, 1.46	5] +
Zhou 2020 20 86 13 136 4.0% 2.87 [1.34, 6.13] Zou 2017 101 922 153 1368 16.6% 0.98 [0.75, 1.28] Fotal (95% Cl) 12750 16611 100.0% 1.06 [0.90, 1.25] Fotal events 4394 4251 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 18.39, df = 7 (P = 0.01); l ² = 62% 0.01 0.4 10 100	Wang 2021	3216	5084	2818	4683	27.8%	1.14 [1.05, 1.24	•]
Zou 2017 101 922 153 1368 16.6% 0.98 [0.75, 1.28] Fotal (95% CI) 12750 16611 100.0% 1.06 [0.90, 1.25] Total events 4394 4251 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 18.39, df = 7 (P = 0.01); l ² = 62% 0.01 0.4 10 100	Zhou 2020	20	86	13	136	4.0%	2.87 [1.34, 6.13	3]
Interference Image: Second state	Zou 2017	101	922	153	1368	16.6%	0.98 [0.75, 1.28	3] 🛉
Fotal events 4394 4251 Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 18.39, df = 7 (P = 0.01); l ² = 62% 0.01 0.1 1 1.0 1.00	Total (95% CI)		12750		16611	100.0%	1.06 [0.90, 1.25	a 🔶
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02; Chi ² = 18.39, df = 7 (P = 0.01); l ² = 62%	Total events	4394		4251				
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.02; Chi	² = 18.3	9, df = 7 (P	= 0.01);	l [≈] = 62%		
Fest for overall effect: Z = 0.73 (P = 0.47)	Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.73 (P = 0.47	n'				0.01 0.1 1 10 100 Eavoure experimental Eavoure control

Figure 5c: AKI stage 3 and Overweight.

	Under w	eigni	Numarw	reigni		Odus Radu	Odus P	auu
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Randor	n, 95% Cl
Zou 2017	80	241	358	1368	100.0%	1.40 [1.04, 1.88]		
Total (95% CI)		241		1368	100.0%	1.40 [1.04, 1.88]		•
Total events	80		358					
Heterogeneity: Not ap	oplicable							
Test for overall effect	Z = 2.25 (I	P = 0.02)			50	U.U1 U.1 1	10 100

Figure 6a: AKI stage 2-3 and Underweight.

	Overweig	ght	Normal w	eight		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Zou 2017	255	922	358	1368	100.0%	1.08 [0.89, 1.30]	
Total (95% CI)		922		1368	100.0%	1.08 [0.89, 1.30]	+
Total events Heterogeneity: Not ap Test for overall effect:	255 plicable Z = 0.79 (P	= 0.43	358 3)			F	0.01 0.1 1 1 10 100 Favours experimental Favours control
6b: AKI stage 2-3 and Overv	weight.						

included studies show that 73% of overweight patients were less likely to stay in hospital for long time compared with normal-weight group, OR was 0.27, 95% CI: -0.83 to 1.38, P=0.63. However, two included

studies show that there was no difference of LOS in hospital for obese group compared with normal-weight group, OR was 1.0, 95% CI: 0.62 to 1.38, P=0.0001. There was substantial, and minimal heterogeneity

Austin Publishing Group

	Obes		Normarw	reigini		Ouus Ratio	Ouus Nauo
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Zou 2017	101	324	358	1368	100.0%	1.28 [0.98, 1.66]	
Total (95% Cl)		324		1368	100.0%	1.28 [0.98, 1.66]	◆
Total events	101		358				
Heterogeneity: Not a	pplicable					L. L.	
Test for overall effect	: Z = 1.82 ((P = 0.0)	7)			U Fav	Auro experimental Eavours control

Figure 6c: AKI stage 2-3 and Obese.

Study or Subaroup	Evonte	Total	Evonte	Total	Mojabt	M H Bandom, 05% Cl	M H Bandom 95% Cl
Study of Subgroup	LYGING	Total	Lyonda	Total	Togic	W-H, Fallaoh, 55% CF	WH, random, 55% Cr
Pedersen 2016	24	1272	97	6588	53.6%	1.29 [0.82, 2.02]	
Zou 2017	23	241	82	1368	46.4%	1.65 [1.02, 2.69]	
Total (95% CI)		1513		7956	100.0%	1.45 [1.04, 2.01]	◆
Total events	47		179				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	= 0.00; Chi ^a	^e = 0.55,	df = 1 (P =	0.46); I ^z	= 0%	F	
Teet for overall effect:	7 - 210/1	- n n .	\ ·		U	1.01 0.1 1 10 100	

Figure 7a: AKI mortality and Underweight.

Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Pedersen 2016	35	2769	97	6588	51.5%	0.86 [0.58, 1.26]	-
Zou 2017	35	922	82	1368	48.5%	0.62 [0.41, 0.93]	
Total (95% CI)		3691		7956	100.0%	0.73 [0.53, 1.01]	•
Total events	70		179				
Heterogeneity: Tau ²	= 0.01; Chi	² = 1.29	, df = 1 (P =	= 0.26); I	≈ =22%	Ę	

Figure 7b: AKI mortality and Overweight.

	Obes	e	Normal w	eight		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Pedersen 2016	20	782	97	6588	51.5%	1.76 [1.08, 2.86]	
Zou 2017	14	324	82	1368	48.5%	0.71 [0.40, 1.27]	
Total (95% CI)		1106		7956	100.0%	1.13 [0.46, 2.78]	-
Total events	34		179				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.35; Ch	i ² = 5.6	5, df = 1 (P	= 0.02);	I≊ = 82%	<u> </u>	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.27	(P = 0.7	9)			Favou	ars experimental Favours control

Figure 7c: AKI mortality and Obese.

	0	bese		Norm	al wei	ght		Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl		
Wang 2021	6.65	8.31	5029	5.96	7.7	4683	99.8%	0.69 [0.37, 1.01]			
Zou 2017	40	54.8	324	40	50.3	1368	0.2%	0.00 [-6.54, 6.54]	Ŧ		
Total (95% CI)			5353			6051	100.0%	0.69 [0.37, 1.01]			
Heterogeneity: Tau ^z =	0.00; C	hi ² = 0	.04, df=	= 1 (P = 1	0.84);	I ≈ = 0%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.24	(P < 0	0.0001)					F	avours experimental Favours control		

Figure 8a: LOS in ICU and Obese.



Figure 8b: LOS in ICU and Underweight.

Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Ju 2018	6.9	9.8	80	10.3	21	286	25.6%	-3.40 [-6.65, -0.15]	
Wang 2021	6.07	8.14	5084	5.96	7.7	4683	55.2%	0.11 [-0.20, 0.42]	
Zou 2017	39	48.8	922	40	50.3	1368	19.2%	-1.00 [-5.13, 3.13]	+
Total (95% CI)			6086			6337	100.0%	-1.00 [-3.23, 1.23]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ²	= 2.32; C	hi² = 4.	71, df=	= 2 (P =	0.09);1	≈ = 589	6		

Figure 8c: LOS in ICU and Overweight.

Austin Publishing Group



Figure 9a: LOS in Hospital and Underweight.

	Ove	rweig	ht	Norm	al wei	ght		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	I IV, Random, 95% Cl
Ju 2018	19.2	22.2	80	26.6	37.5	286	2.7%	-7.40 [-13.92, -0.88]]
Pedersen 2016	10	5.9	2769	10	6.6	6588	49.9%	0.00 [-0.27, 0.27]] 📫
Zou 2017	14	5.1	922	13	5.9	1368	47.4%	1.00 [0.55, 1.45]] 🛉
Total (95% CI)			3771			8242	100.0%	0.27 [-0.83, 1.38]	1 1
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.62; C	hi ² = 1	9.02, d	f=2(P <	< 0.000	01); I ^z =	89%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.48) (P = 0	0.63)						Favours experimental Favours control

Figure 9b: LOS in Hospital and Overweight.

Pedersen 2016	11	0.0							
		0.0	782	10	6.6	6588	61.4%	1.00 [0.51, 1.49]	le l
Zou 2017	14	5.1	324	13	5.1	1368	38.6%	1.00 [0.38, 1.62]	+
Total (95% CI)			1106			7956	100.0%	1.00 [0.62, 1.38]	

Figure 9c: LOS in Hospital and Obese.

	Unde	erweig	iht	Norma	al Weig	ght		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Argalious 2017	1	1	100	9	0.6	1500	36.2%	-8.00 [-8.20, -7.80]	
Ju 2018	48	47.1	102	121	42.3	286	28.0%	-73.00 [-83.37, -62.63]	
Park 2017	2	5.4	37	2	2.7	74	35.8%	0.00 [-1.85, 1.85]	•
Total (95% Cl)			239			1860	100.0%	-23.33 [-34.87, -11.79]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	95.89; (Chi ² = ∶	222.59	df = 2 (f	P < 0.0	0001);	l² = 99%		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.96	i (P < 0	0.0001)					F	avours experimental Favours control

Figure 10a: Hospital mortality and Underweight.

	over	weig	ht	Norm	al Weig	ght		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl
Argalious 2017	9	0.5	1672	9	0.6	1500	50.5%	0.00 [-0.04, 0.04]	
Ju 2018	40	50	40	121	42.3	286	49.5%	-81.00 [-97.25, -64.75]	
Total (95% CI)			1712			1786	100.0%	-40.08 [-119.45, 39.30]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	3246.12	2; Chi ^a	* = 95.4	l2, df = 1	(P < 0	.00001); I = 999	6	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 0.99	(P = 1	0.32)					F	Favours experimental Favours control

Figure 10b: Hospital mortality and Overweight.



with I^2 =85%, P=0.0002, I^2 =89%, P=0.0001 and I^2 =0%, P=1 were found in underweight, and overweight group respectively (Figure 9a-9c).

BMI and hospital mortality: Results from three included studies in underweight group and one study in obese group show

that there were less associated with hospital mortality compared to normal weight group, OR was -23.33, 95% CI: -34.87 to -11.79, p=0.0001 and -4, 95% CI: -4.04 to -3.96, P=0.00001 respectively. There was considerable heterogeneity among these included cohorts

in underweight group with I²=99%, P=0.0001. The findings from two included cohorts show that overweight was also less associated with hospital mortality, but not statistically significant, OR: -40.08, 95% CI: -119.45 to 39.30, P=0.32. The heterogeneity was considerable with I²=99%, P=0.00001. There one study included in this meta-analysis showed that the number of hospital mortality in obese population was lesser compared normal weight group, OR: -4.0, 95% CI of -4.04 to -3.96, p=0.00001, heterogeneity is not applicable (Figure 10a-10c).

Discussion

Current review introduces the possibility of obesity paradox in AKI, where higher BMI is associated with AKI morbidity, while underweight group is associated with AKI mortality.

Based on the meta-analysis results, AKI incidence was 24.9% and higher AKI incidence in subgroup was 30.1% in overweight, while based systematic analysis, findings demonstrate that the highest incidence of AKI was 92.8% in obese group. These findings show that AKI incidence trend is associated with high BMI. AKI stages were not statistically associated with BMI, except AKI stage 2-3 was more likely to develop in underweight with OR of 1.4. Besides, AKI mortality rate was high in underweight group compared to normalweight group, while high incidence was associated with elevated BMI. The current findings are in the line with a retrospective study with 11,736 participants conducted in Australia, where morbid obese and overweight patients were 2.9 1.4 times more likely to develop renal failure and morbidity respectively. The findings of this study found that AKI mortality was not associated with high BMI [34]. Moreover, a cohort study carried out in Denmark from 2005-2011 with 13,529 participants shows that 17.9% were obese patients while 11.9% were normal-weight, nevertheless, AKI mortality was 23.1%, 14.1%, 10.7%, 15.2% in underweight, normal-weight, overweight, and obese patients respectively [35].

Although the higher BMI is accompanied with low rate of AKI mortality, much caution could be taken as the current systematic review reveals that high BMI is associated with different comorbidities (hypertension and diabetes mellitus), where percentage of hypertension in overweight and obese was 31.1% and 46.2% respectively, which are high compared to underweight (19.9%). Moreover, percentage of diabetes mellitus in overweight and obese was 19.9% and 34.3% respectively, which is also high compared with underweight (5.9%). Based on these comorbidities, recent studies have shown that hypertension and diabetes mellitus are associated with AKI in overweight and obese patients. For instance, a retrospective study carried out in Poland with 215 patients shows that among 70% of patients with hypertension were associated with 85%, 75%, and 30% of post-renal AKI, renal AKI, and pre-renal AKI respectively [36]. Besides, Worldwide Acute Kidney Injury Epidemiology in Neonates (AWAKEN) database was used to collect the data in the study enrolled 2162 neonates, where the overall AKI was 29.9% with the association of hypertension over 41.2% compared to 26.2% of control group [37]. Nevertheless, it has been shown that hemoglobin A1c more than 9% is associated with AKI with OR of 1.29, 95% CI: 1.18-1.41 up to 1.33, 95% CI: 1.13-1.57 compared with baseline A1c of 6-6.9% [38]. Moreover, a cohort study of 16,700 participants shows that 48.6% of diabetes patients versus 17.2% controls are more likely to develop AKI [39]. Based on these findings, there might be a great impact of diabetes and hypertension on AKI development. Therefore, more studies are welcomed to reveal the association between these comorbidities and AKI, and related cellular mechanism behind. Moreover, particularly to the current results, it will be interesting to understand why and how low BMI induces AKI mortality in future.

Altogether, the link of low BMI and mortality for patients with AKI shows a more clinically useful observation, therefore clinicians should be especially vigilant when managing AKI risk.

The study was challenged with different limitations. For instance, BMI can be affected by different factors like ethnicity which can increase the heterogeneity [40]. Besides, different sample sizes contribute to the different power of the study which affects the pooled result and result in the study heterogeneity.

Conclusion

Although high BMI is known to enhance the chronic kidney diseases, having a higher BMI might be associated with AKI morbidity, while low BMI might be associated with AKI mortality. More studies are recommended.

Declaration

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.31371386 SP.J).

Authors' contribution: BN collected the data, analyzed and prepared the manuscript. BN, YG, and YJ organized the manuscript. WW and SJ supervised and revised the manuscript. All authors read and approve the final manuscript.

References

- Bull CJ, Bell JA, Murphy N, Sanderson E, Davey Smith G, Timpson NJ, et al. Adiposity, metabolites, and colorectal cancer risk: Mendelian randomization study. BMC Med. 2020; 18: 396.
- Han C, Liu C, Geng J, Tang Y, Li Y, Wang Y, et al. Black and Green Tea Supplements Ameliorate Male Infertility in a Murine Model of Obesity. J Med Food. 2020; 23: 1303-1311.
- Teleka S, Jochems SHJ, Häggström C, Wood AM, Järvholm B, Orho-Melander M, et al. Association between blood pressure and BMI with bladder cancer risk and mortality in 340,000 men in three Swedish cohorts. Cancer Med. 2021; 10: 1431-1438.
- Qiao W, Zhang X, Kan B, Vuong AM, Xue S, Zhang Y, et al. Hypertension, BMI, and cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases. Open Med (Wars). 2021; 16: 149-155.
- Martin WP, Bauer J, Coleman J, Dellatorre-Teixeira L, Reeve JLV, Twomey PJ, et al. Obesity is common in chronic kidney disease and associates with greater antihypertensive usage and proteinuria: evidence from a crosssectional study in a tertiary nephrology centre. Clin Obes. 2020; 10: e12402.
- Finucane MM, Stevens GA, Cowan MJ, Danaei G, Lin JK, Paciorek CJ, et al; Global Burden of Metabolic Risk Factors of Chronic Diseases Collaborating Group (Body Mass Index). National, regional, and global trends in body-mass index since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 960 country-years and 9.1 million participants. Lancet. 2011; 377: 557-567.
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. Lancet. 2017; 390: 2627-2642.
- 8. Prevalence of Obesity. 2021.
- 9. Obesity and Overweight. 2021.

- Kompaniyets L, Goodman AB, Belay B, Freedman DS, Sucosky MS, Lange SJ, et al. Body Mass Index and Risk for COVID-19-Related Hospitalization, Intensive Care Unit Admission, Invasive Mechanical Ventilation, and Death -United States, March-December 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2021; 70: 355-361.
- 11. Muttarak R. Normalization of Plus Size and the Danger of Unseen Overweight and Obesity in England. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2018; 26: 1125-1129.
- Tsuboi N, Okabayashi Y, Shimizu A, Yokoo T. The Renal Pathology of Obesity. Kidney Int Rep. 2017; 2: 251-260.
- Sabaz MS, Aşar S, Sertçakacılar G, Sabaz N, Çukurova Z, Hergünsel GO. The effect of body mass index on the development of acute kidney injury and mortality in intensive care unit: Is obesity paradox valid? Ren Fail. 2021; 43: 543-555.
- 14. Caussy C, Pattou F, Wallet F, Simon C, Chalopin S, Telliam C, et al; COVID Outcomes HCL Consortium and Lille COVID-Obesity Study Group. Prevalence of obesity among adult inpatients with COVID-19 in France. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. 2020; 8: 562-564.
- Guo M, Gao Y, Wang L, Zhang H, Liu X, Zhang H. Early Acute Kidney Injury Associated with Liver Transplantation: A Retrospective Case-Control Study. Med Sci Monit. 2020; 26: e923864.
- 16. Kim H, Kim H, Lee M, Cha MU, Nam KH, An SY, et al. The impact of disease severity on paradoxical association between body mass index and mortality in patients with acute kidney injury undergoing continuous renal replacement therapy. BMC Nephrol. 2018; 19: 32.
- Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. J Clin Epidemiol. 2009; 62: 1006-1012.
- Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol. 2010; 25: 603-605.
- Vasquez CR, DiSanto T, Reilly JP, Forker CM, Holena DN, Wu Q, et al. Relationship of body mass index, serum creatine kinase, and acute kidney injury after severe trauma. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2020; 89: 179-185.
- Zou Z, Zhuang Y, Liu L, Shen B, Xu J, Luo Z, et al. Role of Body Mass Index in Acute Kidney Injury Patients after Cardiac Surgery. Cardiorenal Med. 2017; 8: 9-17.
- 21. Ju S, Lee TW, Yoo JW, Lee SJ, Cho YJ, Jeong YY, et al. Body Mass Index as a Predictor of Acute Kidney Injury in Critically III Patients: A Retrospective Single-Center Study. Tuberc Respir Dis (Seoul). 2018; 81: 311-318.
- 22. Argalious MY, Makarova N, Leone A, Cywinski J, Farag E. Association of Body Mass Index and Postoperative Acute Kidney Injury in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Surgery. Ochsner J. 2017; 17: 224-232.
- 23. Park JY, Park JH, Lee SS, Ri HS, Kim HJ, Choi YM, et al. The Association of Preoperative Body Mass Index with Acute Kidney Injury in Liver Transplantation Recipients: A Retrospective Study. Korean J Crit Care Med. 2017; 32: 265-274.
- 24. Kim H, Kim H, Lee M, Cha MU, Nam KH, An SY, et al. The impact of disease severity on paradoxical association between body mass index and mortality in patients with acute kidney injury undergoing continuous renal replacement therapy. BMC Nephrol. 2018; 19: 32.
- Wang H, Shi Y, Bai ZH, Lv JH, Sun JL, Pei HH, et al. Higher body mass index is not a protective risk factor for 28-days mortality in critically ill patients with acute kidney injury undergoing continuous renal replacement therapy. Ren Fail. 2019; 41: 726-732.

- Austin Publishing Group
- 26. Kim H, Kim J, Seo C, Lee M, Cha MU, Jung SY, et al. Body mass index is inversely associated with mortality in patients with acute kidney injury undergoing continuous renal replacement therapy. Kidney Res Clin Pract. 2017; 36: 39-47.
- 27. Liu T, Fu Y, Liu J, Liu Y, Zhu J, Sun L, et al. Body mass index is an independent predictor of acute kidney injury after urgent aortic arch surgery for acute DeBakey Type I aortic dissection. J Cardiothorac Surg. 2021; 16: 145.
- 28. Liu AYL, Wang J, Nikam M, Lai BC, Yeoh LY. Low, rather than High, Body Mass Index Is a Risk Factor for Acute Kidney Injury in Multiethnic Asian Patients: A Retrospective Observational Study. Int J Nephrol. 2018; 2018: 3284612.
- MacLaughlin HL, Pike M, Selby NM, Siew E, Chinchilli VM, Guide A, et al; ASSESS-AKI Study Investigators. Body mass index and chronic kidney disease outcomes after acute kidney injury: a prospective matched cohort study. BMC Nephrol. 2021; 22: 200.
- Gameiro J, Gonçalves M, Pereira M, Rodrigues N, Godinho I, Neves M, et al. Obesity, acute kidney injury and mortality in patients with sepsis: a cohort analysis. Ren Fail. 2018; 40: 120-126.
- Zhou J, Lyu L, Zhu L, Liang Y, Dong H, Chu H. Association of overweight with postoperative acute kidney injury among patients receiving orthotopic liver transplantation: an observational cohort study. BMC Nephrol. 2020; 21: 223.
- 32. Wang B, Li D, Gong Y, Ying B, Cheng B, Sun L. Body Mass Index is Associated with the Severity and All-Cause Mortality of Acute Kidney Injury in Critically III Patients: An Analysis of a Large Critical Care Database. Biomed Res Int. 2021; 2021: 6616120.
- 33. Moon H, Lee Y, Kim S, Kim DK, Chin HJ, Joo KW, et al. Differential Signature of Obesity in the Relationship with Acute Kidney Injury and Mortality after Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting. J Korean Med Sci. 2018; 33: e312.
- Yap CH, Mohajeri M, Yii M. Obesity and early complications after cardiac surgery. Med J Aust. 2007; 186: 350-354.
- Pedersen AB, Gammelager H, Kahlert J, Sørensen HT, Christiansen CF. Impact of body mass index on risk of acute kidney injury and mortality in elderly patients undergoing hip fracture surgery. Osteoporos Int. 2017; 28: 1087-1097.
- Dylewska M, Chomicka I, Małyszko J. Hypertension in patients with acute kidney injury. Wiad Lek. 2019; 72: 2199-2201.
- 37. Kraut EJ, Boohaker LJ, Askenazi DJ, Fletcher J, Kent AL; Neonatal Kidney Collaborative (NKC). Incidence of neonatal hypertension from a large multicenter study (Assessment of Worldwide Acute Kidney Injury Epidemiology in Neonates-AWAKEN). Pediatr Res. 2018; 84: 279-289.
- 38. Xu Y, Surapaneni A, Alkas J, Evans M, Shin JI, Selvin E, et al. Glycemic Control and the Risk of Acute Kidney Injury in Patients With Type 2 Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease: Parallel Population-Based Cohort Studies in U.S. and Swedish Routine Care. Diabetes Care. 2020; 43: 2975-2982.
- Hapca S, Siddiqui MK, Kwan RSY, Lim M, Matthew S, Doney ASF, et al; BEAt-DKD Consortium. The Relationship between AKI and CKD in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: An Observational Cohort Study. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021; 32: 138-150.
- Krueger PM, Coleman-Minahan K, Rooks RN. Race/ethnicity, nativity and trends in BMI among U.S. adults. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2014; 22: 1739-1746.