Research Article

Yield and Quality Response of Chickpea Cultivars to Different NPK Levels

Shah T1*, Fareed A2 and Nauman M3

¹Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan

²Institue of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan ³Department of Agricultural Chemistry, University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan

*Corresponding author: Tariq Shah, Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan

Received: May 29, 2016; Accepted: September 05, 2016; Published: September 12, 2016

Abstract

There is a wrong perception with the farmers that gram being a legume crop does not need any nutrition. They usually grow it without supplying any fertilizer and get very low yield. The study was planned to observe the effects of NPK fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of gram cultivars to exploit their full genetic potential. The experiment was carried out at the Agricultural Research Station, Harahan, Pakistan during the winter season of 2015-16. It was laid out in randomized complete block design with split plot arrangement having three blocks keeping gram cultivars (Karak-1, KC-98 and Punjab-2009) in main plot and fertilizer application levels (0,0,0; 30,0,0; 30,60,0; and 30,60,30 Kg NPK ha_1) in sub plot. It was observed that Punjab-2009 surpassed other two cultivars in grain yield, when it fertilized with NPK @ 30,60,0 Kg ha_1 due to taller in height, more number of seeds per pod, number of pods per plant, biological yield and seed protein content and profitable. However, exceeding above this fertilizer combination of NPK proved to be uneconomical.

Keywords: Gram; Cultivars; NPK Fertilizer application; Yield; Contributing parameters

Introduction

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) commonly known as gram is an important conventional pulse of Pakistan. During 2010-11, gram was cultivated on 1094 thousand hectare with total production of 760 thousand tons, which was 60% higher as compared to previous year [1]. This low average seed yield of gram in Pakistan is low as compared to other gram growing countries of the world like China (3333 kg ha), Lebanon (2310 kg ha), Tunisia (1968 kg ha) and Egypt (1790 kg ha,) [2]. This is probably due to the fact that gram is cultivated on marginal lands. The use of varieties/cultivars with low yield potential also limits gram yield to a considerable extent [3]. There is considerable difference among different cultivars of gram regarding yield potential due to response of NPK fertilization. High yielding cultivars usually have extensive root system, taller in height [4,5], relatively more number of pods and grains per pod [6]. These cultivars consequently give higher grain [7] and biological yield [8,9] with better protein contents [10,11]. If we just replace our present cultivars with high yield potential cultivars which are very response to heavy fertilization and may enhance our yield per unit area up to 8-12 %, it can play a pivotal role in increasing the grain yield per unit area [3,12].

There is also a wrong perception with the farmers that gram being a legume crop does not need any nutrition. They usually grow it without supplying any fertilizer, where as it is evident from the literature that application of NPK have beneficial effect on gram yield [13,14]. But the question that how much NPK should be applied to which cultivar still remains unquenchable. This depends upon the final grain yield [15] and its contributing components [6] whether it is profitable combination or not [10]. Present study was, therefore, planned to study the effect of NPK fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of gram cultivars under the irrigated conditions of Faisalabad.

Materials and Methods

Investigations to see the effect of varying levels of NPK on growth, yield and quality performance of three chickpea cultivars were carried out at the Agricultural Research Station, Harichand, Pakistan during the winter season of 2015-16. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with split plot

arrangement having three blocks keeping gram cultivars (Karak-1, KC-98 and Punjab-2009) in main plot and fertilizer application levels $(0,0,0; 30,0,0; 30,60,0; \text{ and } 30,60,30 \text{ Kg NPK ha}_{.1})$ in sub plot. Net plot size was 1.6 m x 7.0 m.

Crop was shown on 9th October, 2015 using seed rate of 40 kg ha_{-1} with the help of single row hand drill at 40 cm spaced rows. A fertilizer dose of N @ 25 Kg ha_{-1} along with different levels of PK were side dressed after sowing.

All other agronomic practices were kept uniform for all the treatments. As far as the observations taken, plant height was taken by selecting ten plants randomly from each plot and measured from base up to tip of the plant at maturity and then average was calculated. To determine the 1000-grain weight, three samples of 1000-seeds were selected at random from seed lot of each experimental unit and were weighed separately with the help of an electric balance. Then average of these readings was taken. The crop was harvested and sun-dried for five days in the field. After that its biological weight from each subplot was recorded and then converted on hectare basis. Seed yield of each plot was recorded by threshing harvesting plants manually and then converted into Kg ha,. For seed protein content, 500 seeds from each plot were taken and ground. Digestion of ground samples was done by Gunning and Hibbard's method of sulfuric acid [16]. Then distillation was done with micro Kjeldahl's apparatus and nitrogen in each sample was determined. Thereafter, N of each sample was

Citation: Shah T, Fareed A and Nauman M. Yield and Quality Response of Chickpea Cultivars to Different NPK Levels. Austin Food Sci. 2016; 1(4): 1018.

Shah T

| Treatment | Plant height (cm) | No. of seed plant-1 | 1000-seed weight (g) | Seed yield (kg ha-1) | Biomass yield (kgha-1) | Seed protein (%) | Net income (Rs. ha-1) |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| Cultivars (C) | | | | | | | |
| Karak-1 | 85.02c | 73.26a | 281.08a | 1838.89b | 4957.14b | 21.92b | 44345b |
| KC-98 | 100.04b | 45.63c | 250.08b | 1745.60c | 4902.96b | 21.02c | 42012c |
| Punjab-2009 | 105.74a | 65.20b | 170.92c | 1922.71a | 5033.54a | 22.47a | 46440a |
| LSD | 5.40* | 7.25* | 30.25* | 83.258* | 74.256* | 0.537* | 19865** |
| Fertilizer application (NPK kg ha ⁻¹) (F) | | | | | | | |
| F0: 0,0,0 | 92.57c | 50.51c | 230.11b | 1481.27c | 4256.49c | 19.68c | 37005c |
| F1: 30,0,0 | 95.29bc | 57.93b | 232.22b | 1571.94b | 4754.38b | 21.01b | 38810b |
| F2: 30,60,0 | 98.58ab | 67.81a | 236.44a | 2126.62a | 5428.39a | 23.33a | 51022a |
| F3: 30,60,30 | 101.30a | 69.21a | 237.33a | 2162.11a | 5458.93a | 23.19a | 51283a |
| LSD | 2.7012* | 9.820* | 4.20* | 90.635* | 497.258* | 1.32* | 1804* |
| Interaction (C X F) | | | | | | | |
| C ₁ x F ₀ | 78.80 | 58.96d | 276.66 | 1453.80g | 4200.43g | 19.82h | 34720g |
| C ₁ x F ₁ | 83.73 | 69.13bc | 280.00 | 1562.83e | 4356.33ef | 19.08i | 37770e |
| C ₁ x F ₂ | 87.50 | 81.53a | 283.33 | 2152.56bc | 4212.70fg | 20.13h | 36730f |
| C ₁ x F ₃ | 90.06 | 83.43a | 284.33 | 2186.36ab | 4696.17d | 21.05f | 36958f |
| C ₂ x F ₀ | 95.10 | 39.67f | 246.67 | 1455.80f | 4456.47d | 20.54g | 34913f |
| C ₂ x F ₁ | 98.26 | 40.68f | 248.33 | 1474.83fg | 5200.53c | 21.46e | 50070b |
| C ₂ x F ₂ | 101.73 | 49.53e | 251.67 | 1959.36b | 5397.57b | 23.69b | 50290b |
| C ₂ x F ₃ | 105.06 | 52.65e | 253.66 | 2092.30b | 5500.53b | 22.68b | 45240d |
| C ₃ x F ₀ | 103.80 | 52.90e | 167.00 | 1534.23fg | 5477.06b | 24.11a | 50290b |
| C ₃ x F ₁ | 103.86 | 63.97cd | 168.33 | 2267.93a | 5654.40a | 24.18a | 52955a |
| C ₃ x F ₂ | 106.53 | 72.36b | 174.33 | 1681.03d | 5388.53b | 22.27d | 49140c |
| C3 x F3 | 108.76 | 71.56b | 174.00 | 2207.66ab | 5333.86b | 23.12c | 50823b |
| LSD | NS | 5.423* | NS | 62.35* | 210.368* | 0.85* | 930* |

Table 1: Influence of NPK levels on yield and quality of gram cultivars.

The means not sharing a letter differ significantly at 0.05 level of probability.

*: Significant; Ns: Not significant.

Remarks

Seed yield value @ Rs. 1000/40kg; Phosphorous @ Rs. 32.65/kg; Nitrogen @ Rs. 19.5/kg; Potassium @ Rs. 20.70/kg; Seed @ Rs.40/kg.

multiplied by a factor 0.25 to calculate the seed protein contents. An economic analysis was carried out on the basis of variable and prevailing market prices of N, P, K fertilizers and maize grain yield. Net income was calculated by subtracting the total variable cost from the total benefits from each treatment combination.

The pooled data was analyzed by using the methodology described in [17]. Data collected were analyzed statistically by using Fisher's analysis of variance techniques, while significance of treatment means were tested by using least significant difference test at 5% level of probability [18].

Results and Discussion

The data revealed that cultivars differed significantly from one cultivar to another with respect to plant height. Significantly more plant height (105.7 cm) was recorded in variety Punjab-2009 as compared to variety Karak-1which gave a plant height of 85.02 cm. previously similar results have been reported by [4,5]. Application of fertilizer affected significantly plant height of gram. Minimum plant height (92.57 cm) was recorded in the crop grown without fertilizer application. These results are similar to the findings of the

[3,12]. Fertilizer levels and cultivars interaction was found to be non significant on plant height.

There was significant variation among gram cultivars and fertilizer levels regarding the parameters of number of seeds per pod. Among cultivars, KC-98 significantly produced the highest (2.76) number of seeds pod-1 than other cultivars. Similarly, the highest number of seeds pod-1 was produced (2.66) at fertilizer level of 30-60-30 kg NPK ha_{.1} while significantly the lowest (2.53) obtained without fertilizer application. The interaction between cultivars and fertilizer levels under study was observed to be non-significant to number of seeds per pod. [6] Also reported that increasing levels of NPK increased settings of pods, number of seeds per pod, and finally number of seeds per plant.

There was significant variation among fertilizer levels on 1000-grain weight of gram cultivars. Gram cultivars showed the significant results in which Karak-1 produced the highest 1000-grain weight (282 g) while it was significantly decreased in Punjab-2009 (171 g). The treatment fertilized @ 30-60-30 kg NPK ha_{.1} exhibited higher 1000-grain weight (237 g) which was statistically at par with

There was significant variation among fertilizer levels and cultivars regarding biological yield. Punjab-2009 surpassed other two varieties (Karak-1 and KC-98) in respect of biological yield (5033 Kg ha_{.1}). There was also significant variation among different fertilizer application levels regarding biological yield. The highest biological yield (5458 Kg ha_{.1}) was recorded, when 30, 60, 30 Kg NPK ha_{.1} was applied, which was statistically at par with biological yield (5428 Kg ha_{.1}), when 30,60,0 Kg NPK ha_{.1} was applied, while the lowest biological yield (4256 Kg ha_{.1}) was recorded in control. Interactive effects of gram hybrids and fertilizer application levels were found to be significant. The highest biological yield was recorded when Punjab-2009 gram variety was fertilized with 30,0,0 Kg NPK ha_{.1} was observed when Karak-1 was grown without any fertilizer. These results are in line with the observations of [8,9].

levels and cultivars on 1000-grain weight were non-significant.

There was significant variation among fertilizer levels and cultivars regarding grain yield. Punjab-2009 surpassed other two varieties (Karak-1 and KC-98) in respect of grain yield (1922 Kg ha,). There was also significant variation among different fertilizer application levels regarding grain yield. The highest grain yield (2162 Kg ha₁) was recorded, when 30, 60, 30 Kg NPK ha-1 was applied, which was statistically at par with grain yield (2126 Kg ha,), when 30,60,0 Kg NPK ha, was applied, while the lowest grain yield (1481 Kg ha₁) was recorded in control. Interactive effects of gram hybrids and fertilizer application levels were found to be significant. The highest grain yield (2267 Kg ha_) was recorded when Punjab-2009 gram variety was fertilized with 30,60,0 Kg NPK ha, was applied, whereas the lowest grain yield (1455 Kg ha,) was observed when Karak-1 was grown without any fertilizer. These results are in line with the findings of [7,10,13] who stated that gram cultivars differed significantly in their genetic potential and this potential was further widened with application of chemical fertilizers (NPK) in different combinations (Table 1).

There was significant variation among fertilizer levels and cultivars regarding seed protein content. Punjab-2009 produced significantly higher seed protein content (22.47%) than Karak-1, while the lowest seed protein content (21%) were recorded by KC-98. There was also significant variation among different fertilizer application levels regarding seed protein content. The highest seed protein content (23%) was recorded, when 30, 60, 30 Kg NPK ha-1 was applied, which was statistically at par with seed protein content (23%), when 30, 60, 0 Kg NPK ha-1 was applied, while the lowest seed protein content (20%) was recorded in control. Interactive effects of gram hybrids and fertilizer application levels were found to be significant. The highest seed protein content (24%) was recorded when Punjab-2009 gram variety was fertilized with 30,0,0 Kg NPK ha,1 was applied, whereas the lowest seed protein content (20%) was observed when Karak-1 was grown without any fertilizer. Similar trends were noted by [10,11]. There was significant variation among fertilizer levels and cultivars regarding net income. Punjab-2009 produced significantly higher net income (Rs. 46440 ha,) than Karak-1, while the lowest net income (Rs. 42012 ha,) was recorded by KC-98. There was also significant variation among different fertilizer application levels regarding net income. The highest net income (Rs. 51283 ha_{.1}) was recorded, when 30, 60, 30 Kg NPK ha_{.1} was applied, which was statistically at par with net income earned (Rs. 51022 ha_{.1}), when 30, 60, 0 Kg NPK ha_{.1} was applied, while the lowest net income earned (Rs. 34720 ha_{.1}) was recorded in control. Interactive effects of gram hybrids and fertilizer application levels were found to be significant. The highest net income earned (Rs. 52955 ha_{.1}) was recorded when Punjab-2009 gram variety was fertilized with 30,0,0 Kg NPK ha_{.1} was applied, whereas the lowest net income was earned (Rs. 34720 ha_{.1}) was observed when Karak-1 was grown without any fertilizer. These results are in conformity with the findings of [13,19].

Conclusion

It was found that gram cultivar Punjab-2009 gave the highest yield when cultivated with fertilization of NPK at @ 30, 60, 0 Kg ha_{-1} and exceeding above this combination was found to be uneconomical.

References

- Anonymous. Economic Survey 2010-11, Government of Pakistan, Economic Advisor's Wing, Finance Division, Islamabad, Pakistan. 2011.
- 2. Anonymous. Economic Survey 2009-10, Government of Pakistan, Economic Advisor's Wing, Finance Division, Islamabad, Pakistan. 2010.
- Nazir MS, Akhtar MN, Ali G. Nutritional studies on chickpea. Pak J Agri Res. 2004; 5: 179-182.
- Sundaram S, Parabakoran J, Baiasubramanian A. Effect of application of nitrogen on nodulation and nitrogen fixation in Bengal gram (Cicer arietinum L). Madras J Agri. 2005; 66: 344-345.
- Kasole KE, Kalke SD, Kareepa SM, Khade KK. Response of chickpea to different fertilizer levels, plant population and weed management on cultivators field in north eastern part of Kohlapur, Maharashtara. Indian J Agron. 2005; 40: 217-219.
- Islam MF, Islam MS. Response of chickpea to nitrogen, phosphate, potash, sulfur an zinc fertilization in calcareous dark gray flood plain soils of Bengladesh, 11th Ann, Bengladesh Conference, Dhaka, BAAS. 2006; 1: 26.
- Minhas RS, Jaggi RS, Sharma P. Response of gram to NPK application in wet temperature zone of Himachal Pradesh, Indian. J Agri Chemistry. 2007; 20: 95-99.
- Takankhar VG, Mane SS, Kamble BG, Surywansh AP. Phosphorus up take at different stages and yield attributes of gram crop as affected by P and N fertilization and Rhizobium inoculation. J Soils & Crops. 2008; 8: 53-57.
- Singh AB, Jagdish P. Relative response to chickpea cultivars to potash fertilization. Legume Res. 2007; 20: 233-235.
- Singh AK, Choudry RK, Sharma RPR. Effect of inoculation and fertilizer level on yield, nutrient up take and economics of summer pulses. J Pot Res. 2003; 9: 175-178.
- Sharar MS, Ayub M, Choudhry MA, Nadeem M. Effect of NP application and inoculation on growth and yield of chickpea. Pak J Agri Sci. 2000; 37: 155-157.
- Ghaffar A. Effect of phosphrous application on growth and yield potential of gram genotypes at constant N levels. University of Agric Faisalabad, Pakistan. 2000.
- Verma VK, Pandya KS, Response of rainfed chickpea to NPK fertilizer and its economics in light textured soils. Adv Plant Sci. 2003; 6: 181-185.
- Saeed M, Akram HM, Iqbal MS, Yar A, Ali A. Impact of fertilizer on seed yield of chick pea genotypes. Int J Agri Biol. 2004; 6: 108-109.
- Ruhul A, Mohammad S, Mohammad Y. Response of wheat and gram to different levels of fertilizer under similar soil and rainfall condition of Potohar. Sarhad J Agri. 1998; 5: 217-220.

Shah T

- Jackson M L. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall Inc. Englandwood Cliffs New York, USA. 1958.
- 17. D F. CIMMYT Maize Research and Development in Pakistan, Mexico. 1989; 100.
- 18. Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey DA. Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A

Biometrical Approach. $3^{\rm rd}$ edn McGraw Hill Book Co New York. 1997; 172-177.

 Reddy N. Sharma RN, Ahlawat IPS. Response of chickpea genotypes to irrigation and fertilizers under late sown conditions. Ind J Agron. 2008; 43: 75-101.

Austin Food Sci - Volume 1 Issue 4 - 2016 **Submit your Manuscript** | www.austinpublishinggroup.com Shah et al. © All rights are reserved

Citation: Shah T, Fareed A and Nauman M. Yield and Quality Response of Chickpea Cultivars to Different NPK Levels. Austin Food Sci. 2016; 1(4): 1018.