

Review Article

Review of Brucella in Fish and Aquaculture Environments

Baisa Fekensa Jebesa*

Animal Health Institute, Sebeta, Ethiopia

***Corresponding author:** Baisa Fekensa Jebesa, Animal Health Institute, Sebeta, Ethiopia**Email:** dachitulama@gmail.com**Received:** September 18, 2025**Accepted:** October 21, 2025**Published:** October 24, 2025**Abstract**

Brucellosis is the zoonotic disease mainly connected with land mammals and brought about by bacteria of the genus *Brucella*. This paper unifies recent data on fish brucellosis emphasizing its epidemiology, clinical signs, individual treatment approaches, and public health importance. In this region, there is a typical habit of throwing carcass waste in the Nile channels which can bring zoonotic pathogens such as *Brucella melitensis* to the aquatic environment thereby exposing fish to infection. This work was carried out to isolate and identify *B. Melitensis* from Nile catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). Skin swabs and internal organ samples (liver, kidney, spleen) were taken from all seropositive fish to attempt the isolation of *B. Melitensis* biovar 3. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) was carried out as confirmation for the bacterial identity. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported isolation of *B. Melitensis* biovar 3 from brackish water fish species; this finding may indicate a potential role in the transmission and epidemiology of brucellosis pertaining to reservoirs in aquatic environments. Public awareness campaigns and responsible citizens should address issues related to jettisoning animal waste into water bodies and consider its implicit involvement through fish as a source of fatal infection.

Keywords: Antibiotic; Brucella; Fish; Public health**Introduction**

Aquaculture and fish product are increasing contributions to the ecosystem, food security, and nutrition of the population (Choudhary, *et al.*, 2025). According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) fish consumption has significant growth contributed to bettered diets encyclopedically by furnishing a different and nutritive food source (Thilsted, *et al.*, 2014).

Infections can jump from animals to humans or vice versa, which is what we call zoonotic diseases (Shaheen and M.N., 2022). Zoonotic pathogens encompass a variety of bacteria, parasites, viruses, fungi, and protozoa (Rahman *et al.*, 2020). One well-known bacterial pathogen with zoonotic potential is brucellosis, an infection caused by the *Brucella* species, which can affect both cold-blooded and warm-blooded animals, including humans (Madzingira and O., 2021). Brucellosis is specifically caused by *Brucella* bacteria (Moreno *et al.*, 2020). These bacteria are coccobacillary in shape and stain gram-negative (Uluçay and O., 2023). The *Brucella* genus consists of six species: *Brucella melitensis*, *Brucella abortus*, *Brucella suis*, *Brucella canis*, *Brucella neotomae*, and *Brucella ovis*. Brucellosis bacteria can survive inside host cells, which make it tough for antibiotics to penetrate and work effectively (Qureshi *et al.*, 2023). Currently, there are no standardized treatments specifically approved for fish brucellosis (Qureshi *et al.*, 2023). Brucellosis, caused by colorful *Brucella* species, is a complex zoonotic complaint that requires accurate and early opinion, likes Culture, maldi- tof ms, elisa, luminescence Polarization Assay, Western Blotting to control outbreaks and initiate effective treatment (Qureshi, *et al.*, 2023).

In recent years, there's been growing evidence that *Brucella* species can also infect marine and underwater creatures, including fish (Dadar *et al.*, 2022). Fish brucellosis is becoming a significant concern for marine animal health and has implications for both aquaculture and public health (Zhang *et al.*, 2024). While infections in fish are relatively rare compared to those in mammals, they have been reported in various parts of the world (Simpson *et al.*, 2021). The aim of this study review was to explore the prevalence, pathogenic traits, and potential zoonotic risks of *Brucella* species in fish. It focuses on identifying sources of infection, evaluating the impact on marine health and food safety, and aims to enhance our understanding of how *Brucella* spreads in aquatic environments, ultimately informing relevant biosecurity and public health measures.

Literature Review**History of Fish Brucellosis**

Fish brucellosis is a relatively recent finding in the world of animal diseases, especially when you compare it to classical brucellosis, which has been under the microscope for much longer (Moreno, *et al.*, 2022). While we've known that *Brucella* spp. can be harmful to both, livestock and humans, their impact on aquatic life, particularly fish, has only come to light in the last few decades (González-Espinoza, *et al.*, 2021). The genus *Brucella* was first linked to "malta fever" in humans back in the late 19th century (Moreno, and E., 2021). For many years, it was believed that brucella only affected mammals like cattle, sheep, and goats (Godfroid, *et al.*, 2012). However, in the 1990s and 2000s, new species such as brucella setty and brucella pinpadialis

were discovered, expanding the range of hosts to include not just marine mammals but also terrestrial species.

Epidemiology of Fish Brucellosis

In Egypt, researchers conducted a study that isolated *Brucella Melitensis* Biover 3 from Neel Catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*) in both wild and farmed populations (Henshaw *et al.*, 2025). Serological tests revealed that approximately 9.2% of the wild catfish tested positive for antibodies against the bacteria. The bacteria were successfully cultured from various tissues, including the skin, liver, kidneys, and spleen (Dakar and D.R., 2019).

Clinical Manifestations in Fish

While we don't know a lot about how brucellosis impacts fish, we do know that we can find bacteria in various parts of their bodies, suggesting they could be dealing with infections throughout their system (Rothschild, *et al.*, 2023). It's also worth noting that marine mammals can suffer from *Brucella* infections (Dryland, *et al.*, 2018). These infections can lead to issues with reproduction, brain function, and overall health in marine mammals (Vargas-Castro, *et al.*, 2023).

Public Health Implications

The presence of *Brucella* spp. in fish raises concerns about the potential for zoonotic transmission to humans, particularly through the consumption of contaminated or undercooked fish products (Ziarati *et al.*, 2022). Frequent outbreaks can damage the reputation of aquaculture producers or regions, leading to long-lasting economic consequences (Ranches-Fernandes *et al.*, 2022). The introduction of *Brucella* to aquatic life can be exacerbated by the practice of dumping animal waste into water bodies in areas where brucellosis is prevalent in livestock, such as Egypt (Henshaw *et al.*, 2025). If fish from an infected region are perceived as unsafe, it could lead to a decline in consumer confidence, resulting in lower demand and prices (Bedane *et al.*, 2022).

Brucella Shedding in Human Waste

When it comes to active or untreated infections, people infected with *Brucella* spp. can actually shed the bacteria through their urine and feces (Thendji, and M.L., 2023). Factors like open defecation, overcrowding, and unregulated fish farming raise the chances of fish coming into contact with these bacteria (Harikrishnan, *et al.*, 2024). Areas that are peri-urban or resemble slums, where humans, fish, and animals coexist closely, are especially vulnerable (Battersby, *et al.*, 2024). Unfortunately, due to a lack of proper monitoring, we don't have precise data on the human population levels that correlate with fish infection rates in these regions; however, it's likely that fish are experiencing higher rates of infection (Bondad-Reantaso, *et al.*, 2023).

Impact of Hospitals on Fish Brucellosis

Hospitals can play a surprising role in spreading or maintaining pathogens in our water systems, mainly through things like wastewater discharge, antibiotic pollution, and poor biohazard management (Yuan *et al.*, 2023).

These issues can have a negative impact on fish health and the balance of ecosystems, which might increase the risk of opportunistic infections such as fish brucellosis (Samsing *et al.*, 2024).

Environmental Impact of Fish Brucellosis

Fish brucellosis, caused by *Brucella* species or similar organisms, is becoming a growing concern not just for the health of fish but also for its broader ecological and environmental effects (Shields, and M.M., 2025). When wild fish populations get infected, it can lead to chronic illnesses, reproductive issues, or increased mortality rates, all of which can disrupt population dynamics (Chapman, *et al.*, 2021). Endangered or at-risk fish species might be particularly susceptible, especially in ecosystems already stressed by factors like pollution and temperature changes (Bănăduc, *et al.*, 2022). *Brucella* can also affect other aquatic life, including marine mammals and invertebrates, either through food web connections or by acting as reservoirs (González-Espinoza, *et al.*, 2021). While still not fully understood, several effects have been observed or are believed to pose risks to ecosystems, which ultimately impact fish health and the economic sustainability of fisheries and aquaculture (Ibáñez, *et al.*, 2023). Additionally, the environmental antimicrobial resistance (AMR) can arise from the overuse of antibiotics to treat these infections (Bungau, *et al.*, 2021).

Livestock Exposure Pathways

Livestock often drink directly from canals or rivers that are contaminated with the remains or waste of infected fish (Al-Kaabi, *et al.*, 2024). When livestock graze near fish ponds or canals, they might come into contact with infected plants or soil (Madsen, *et al.*, 2015). In some regions, fish or fish byproducts are added to the feed for poultry or ruminants, which could potentially introduce pathogens (Alao, *et al.*, 2017). The risk of spreading pathogens increases when animal enclosures are situated close to fish ponds, open water systems, and when biosecurity measures are lacking (Subasinghe, *et al.*, 2023). While *Brucella* spp. are usually associated with land animals, the discovery of *Brucella* in fish raises the possibility of transmission from aquatic to terrestrial environments, particularly in areas where water sources are shared by both livestock and aquatic ecosystems (Bowden, and S.E., 2016).

Impact of Abattoirs on Fish Brucellosis

Slaughterhouses, also known as abattoirs, play a crucial role in the meat industry, but they also contribute significantly to environmental pollution, especially when waste isn't managed properly (Ovuru, *et al.*, 2024). *Brucella* spp. are commonly found in livestock, particularly in cattle, sheep, goats, and pigs, making slaughterhouse waste a potential source of these bacteria entering the environment—and possibly affecting aquatic systems where fish could be at risk (Sattar, *et al.*, 2023). If cattle are infected, the waste produced, including wastewater, blood, fetal tissues, and internal organs, often contains high levels of *Brucella* (Pal, *et al.*, 2020). The rise and spread of fish brucellosis are significantly influenced by abattoirs through environmental pollution, poor waste management, and interactions with integrated aquatic systems (OCHIENG, and G.O., 2023).

Importance of Pet Animals in Fish Diseases

When we think about pet animals like cats, dogs, and even decorative fish, we don't usually link them to the spread of fish diseases. However, they can play a significant role—both directly and indirectly—in the epidemiology and management of these diseases (Ziarati, *et al.*, 2022). For instance, cats and dogs that have access to

seafood waste, fish ponds, or aquariums can act as carriers of diseases (Duman, *et al.*, 2024).

Impact of Migratory Birds on Fish Brucellosis

Migratory birds embark on incredible journeys, traversing vast distances across various ecosystems and continents. Along the way, they often make pit stops at fish farms, lakes, rivers, and wetlands (Donnelly, *et al.*, 2021). These birds, moving between areas that are infected and those that are not, can link distant ecosystems and serve as carriers for diseases (Ishtiaq, *et al.*, 202). This migration might even expand the geographical reach of *Brucella* species or similar organisms that can infect fish or contaminate water (Waldrop, and S.G., 2020). By spreading pathogens across different regions, polluting water sources, and disrupting bio-secure aquaculture systems, migratory birds could play a role in the environmental spread and indirect transmission of fish brucellosis (Pinto J, *et al.*, 2020).

Impact of Rain on Fish Brucellosis

Rainfall plays a significant role in shaping the ecology and the spread of infectious diseases in aquatic environments (Yadav *et al.*, 2023). It influences both, direct and indirect factors that can lead to the emergence, persistence, or spread of *Brucella* species (Darbandi *et al.*, 2023). Heavy rain can cause runoff from nearby farms, slaughterhouses, sewage systems, or animal facilities into rivers, ponds, or aquaculture systems (Yadav *et al.*, 2023). This runoff can carry *Brucella* bacteria or fecal matter from infected land animals into fish habitats (Paruch *et al.*, 2022). By facilitating the introduction of pathogens, lowering host tolerance, and disrupting regulated systems like aquaculture farms, rainfall heightens the risk of fish brucellosis as an environmental factor (Mugwanya *et al.*, 2022). As climate change continues to increase rainfall variability, the risks associated with rain-driven disease outbreaks may escalate, underscoring the need for integrated water management and biosecurity strategies in aquaculture and fish health programs (Rub *et al.*, 2024).

Impact of Urbanization on Fish Brucellosis

Urbanization, which refers to the growth of cities, increased human activity, and environmental changes, significantly impacts fish health and aquatic ecosystems (Freeman, *et al.*, 2019). This urban expansion can lead to the rise and spread of fish brucellosis through various management, environmental, and ecological factors (Negi, and S., 2024). Urban runoff often contains untreated sewage, industrial waste, animal excrement, and organic pollutants that may harbor *Brucella* spp. or other harmful pathogens (Polley, *et al.*, 2022). In polluted waters, infectious agents can thrive, increasing the risk of exposure for both wild and farmed fish (Saha, *et al.*, 2023). The development of urban areas often destroys vital natural habitats, such as spawning grounds and wetlands (Chakraborty, *et al.*, 2023). If proper bio-security measures aren't implemented, *Brucella* and other pathogens can spread more easily among fish and potentially to humans (Subasinghe, *et al.*, 2023).

Impact of Deforestation on Fish Brucellosis

Deforestation, which refers to the large-scale clearing of forests for purposes like logging, development, or agriculture, has significant effects on ecosystems, including aquatic ones (Maurya, *et al.*, 2025). While fish brucellosis is still a relatively new and not well-understood

disease, deforestation changes environmental conditions, animal behaviors, and disease dynamics, potentially aiding in its spread and persistence (Biswas, *et al.*, 2023). Bacteria like *Brucella* spp. can enter aquatic ecosystems through runoff, which carries organic waste, pathogens, and animal waste into rivers, lakes, or fish farms (Koyun, *et al.*, 2023). The negative impacts of deforestation on natural aquatic environments include disruptions to food chains, increased sedimentation, and decreased water quality (Kong, *et al.*, 2022).

These stressors weaken fish immune systems, making them more susceptible to diseases like brucellosis (Inbaraj, *et al.*, 2022). Additionally, the removal of trees affects oxygen levels and water temperatures, putting further pressure on aquatic life (Wang, *et al.*, 2022). When forests are cleared, animals such as wild ruminants or rats may venture closer to human settlements or water sources (Fackelmann, *et al.*, 2021). Aquaculture operations that begin in deforested areas often lack proper biosecurity measures, share water with wild animals, and can create conditions that promote fish brucellosis if not carefully managed (Saba, *et al.*, 2024).

Economic Significance of Brucellosis in Fish

When fish get infected, it can lead to immediate financial setbacks for fish farmers. This happens because of higher death rates, less efficient feed conversion, and slower growth (Mukaila, *et al.*, 2023). A significant amount of resources is required for diagnosing, monitoring, and implementing biosecurity measures to stop the spread of *Brucella* spp. in aquaculture systems (Subasinghe, *et al.*, 2023). If zoonotic pathogens like *Brucella* are found in fish products, it could lead to trade bans or restrictions from importing countries, which can seriously affect a nation's export revenue (Berhanu, *et al.*, 2020). Frequent outbreaks can damage the reputation of aquaculture producers or regions, leading to long-term economic repercussions (Gosh, *et al.*, 2022).

Vaccine

Fish vaccines come in various forms, including DNA vaccines, bacterines, live-attenuated vaccines, virus-like particles, and protein-based options (Sivakumar, *et al.*, 2023). A growing trend is the use of autogenous vaccinations, which can be given through intramuscular, intraperitoneal, or oral routes, as well as through feed using top dressing techniques. Attenuated vaccines are made from microbes that have been chemically or genetically weakened, leading to temporary immune responses in the host. These include live viruses and bacteria that have lost their ability to cause disease (O'Connell, *et al.*, 2020).

Recombinant vaccines focus on using just the immunogenic parts of the pathogen to immunize a different host (de Pinho Favaro, *et al.*, 2022). Interestingly, these recombinant vaccines can also be derived from genetically modified organisms (like bacteria or viruses) that are less harmful, making them both recombinant and attenuated (de Pinho Favaro, *et al.*, 2022).

DNA vaccines, which consist of plasmids containing a pathogen's antigen, have gained attention as a potential way to boost fish immunity against diseases (Hølvold, *et al.*, 2014). By injecting viral genes that produce surface glycoproteins into fish muscles, aquaculture researchers have been able to enhance resistance to VHSV and IHNV (Rathor, *et al.*, 2024).

Impact of Treatment on Fish Brucellosis

Fish brucellosis is a bacterial infection that poses serious challenges for antimicrobial resistance, disease management, and the sustainability of aquaculture (Mzula, *et al.*, 2021). *Brucella* spp. are intracellular bacteria, which complicates the treatment of infected fish with standard antibiotics (Haenen, *et al.*, 2023). Unfortunately, information about which antibiotics work against aquatic *Brucella* strains is often limited (Munang'andu, and M., 2024). Misuse of antibiotics in fish can lead to antibiotic resistance not just in *Brucella*, but also in other aquatic microorganisms (Haenen, *et al.*, 2023). Moreover, antibiotics that are not fully utilized or are excreted can accumulate in sediments and water, potentially harming aquatic ecosystems (Maghsodian, *et al.*, 2022).

Diagnostic

Serological

Detecting antibodies against *Brucella* spp. in fish serum is usually done through the Rose Bengal Test (RBT) and the Rivanol test (Riv T) (Masola *et al.*, 2023). Various studies have assessed the seroprevalence of brucellosis in fish populations using these methods (Shi *et al.*, 2021). Serological techniques play a crucial role in diagnosing brucellosis, with the strongest immunological responses noted in different hosts when using LPS smooth chains (Ren *et al.*, 2021).

Bacteriological culture

While blood culture remains the gold standard for diagnosing bacterial infections like brucellosis, this method proves to be quite effective (Qiangsheng, *et al.*, 2023). To isolate *Brucella* spp. from fish tissues such as the liver, kidney, spleen, and skin, samples are cultured on selective media (Carvalho, *et al.*, 2023). This technique allows for the detection and confirmation of the bacterium's presence.

Molecular techniques

To get a definitive diagnosis, we amplify specific *brucella* DNA sequences through Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) tests. Interestingly, marine *brucella* species in fish have also been identified using real-time quantitative PCR, which provides both sensitivity and specificity (Liu *et al.*, 2024).

Conclusion and Recommendation

Fish brucellosis, which is caused by *Brucella* spp. or similar organisms, is a rising and often overlooked illness in our aquatic ecosystems. While it has typically been associated with land-based livestock and humans, new research shows that fish and other aquatic species can also be affected. This raises significant concerns for public health, wildlife conservation, and the aquaculture industry. As we look to the future, here are some key priorities to focus on:

- Better monitoring and early detection,
- Exploring the range of hosts and how the disease spreads,
- Developing targeted treatments and diagnostic tools for fish,
- Strengthening biosecurity measures in aquaculture,
- Promoting responsible waste management and protecting the environment.

References

1. Alao, B.O., Falowo, A.B., Chulayo, A. And Muchenje, V., 2017. The potential of animal by-products in food systems: Production, prospects and challenges. *Sustainability*, 9(7), p.1089.
2. Al-Kaabi, N.A.B.M., Amer, Q.J. and Al-Bermay, S.M., 2024. Impact of Throwing Animal Carcasses in Rivers on the Environment on Parasitic Species Transmission in Iraq: A Comprehensive Review. *SAR J Pathol Microbiol*, 5(6), pp.206-213.
3. Bănăduc, D., Simić, V., Cianfaglione, K., Barinova, S., Afanasyev, S., Öktener, A., mccall, G., Simić, S. And Curtean-Bănăduc, A., 2022. Freshwater as a sustainable resource and generator of secondary resources in the 21st century: Stressors, threats, risks, management and protection strategies, and conservation approaches. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(24), p.16570.
4. Battersby, J., Hatab, A.A., Ambikapathi, R., Chicoma, J.L., Shulang, F., Kimani-Murage, E., Minaker, L., Moragues-Faus, A. And Resnick, D., 2024. Strengthening urban and peri-urban food systems to achieve food security and nutrition, in the context of urbanization and rural transformation, HLPF Report No. 19 (Vol. 19). Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO)-Giulio Napolitano.
5. Bedane, T.D., Agga, G.E. and Gutema, F.D., 2022. Hygienic assessment of fish handling practices along production and supply chain and its public health implications in Central Oromia, Ethiopia. *Scientific reports*, 12(1), p.13910.
6. Berhanu, G. And Pal, M., 2020. Brucellosis: A highly infectious zoonosis of public health and economic importance. *Journal of Emerging Environmental Technologies and Health Protection*, 3, pp.5-9.
7. Biswas, J.K., Mukherjee, P., Vithanage, M. And Prasad, M.N.V., 2023. Emergence and re-emergence of emerging infectious diseases (eids) looking at "One Health" through the lens of ecology. *One Health: Human, Animal, and Environment Triad*, pp.19-37.
8. Bondad-Reantaso, M.G., mackinnon, B., Karunasagar, I., Fridman, S., Alday-Sanz, V., Brun, E., Le Groumellec, M., Li, A., Surachetpong, W., Karunasagar, I. And Hao, B., 2023. Review of alternatives to antibiotic use in aquaculture. *Reviews in aquaculture*, 15(4), pp.1421-1451.
9. Bowden, S.E., 2016. Trans-boundary ecosystem effects on vector community diversity: implications for dilution and amplification in multi-species host-pathogen systems (Doctoral dissertation, University of Georgia).
10. Bungau, S., Tit, D.M., Behl, T., Aleya, L. And Zaha, D.C., 2021. Aspects of excessive antibiotic consumption and environmental influences correlated with the occurrence of resistance to antimicrobial agents. *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health*, 19, p.100224.
11. Carvalho, T.P.D., Silva, L.A.D., Castanheira, T.L.L., Souza, T.D.D., Paixão, T.A.D., Lazaro-Anton, L., Tsois, R.M. and Santos, R.L., 2023. Cell and Tissue Tropism of *Brucella* spp. *Infection and Immunity*, 91(5), pp.e00062-23.
12. Chakraborty, S.K., Sanyal, P. And Ray, R., 2023. Pollution, environmental perturbation and consequent loss of wetlands. In *Wetlands Ecology: Ecobiological uniqueness of a Ramsar site (East Kolkata Wetlands, India)* (pp. 521-582). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
13. Chapman, J.M., Kelly, L.A., Teffer, A.K., Miller, K.M. and Cooke, S.J., 2021. Disease ecology of wild fish: opportunities and challenges for linking infection metrics with behaviour, condition, and survival. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 78(8), pp.995-1007.
14. Choudhary, R., Verma, A., Tomar, M., Kumpatla, R. And Nandipamu, T.M.K., 2025. Crop Diversification for Land Restoration and Food Security. In *Ecological Solutions to Agricultural Land Degradation* (pp. 315-340). Springer, Singapore.
15. Dadar, M., Shahali, Y., Fakhri, Y. And Godfroid, J., 2022. A comprehensive meta-analysis of *Brucella* infections in aquatic mammals. *Veterinaria Italiana*, 58(2).
16. Darbandi, A., Ohadi, E., Nezamzadeh, F., Jalalifar, S. And Zahedi Bialvaei, A., 2023. The Effect of Climate Changes on Human Bacterial Infectious Diseases. *Iranian Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 17(3), pp.273-287.

17. De Pinho Favaro, M.T., Atienza-Garriga, J., Martínez-Torró, C., Parladé, E., Vázquez, E., Corchero, J.L., Ferrer-Miralles, N. And Villaverde, A., 2022. Recombinant vaccines in 2022: a perspective from the cell factory. *Microbial cell factories*, 21(1), p.203.
18. Donnelly, J.P., King, S.L., Knetter, J., Gammonley, J.H., Dreitz, V.J., Grisham, B.A., Nowak, M.C. and Sommer, D.P., 2021. Migration efficiency sustains connectivity across agroecological networks supporting sandhill crane migration. *Ecosphere*, 12(6), p.e03543.
19. Duman, M., Saticioglu, I.B. and Janda, J.M., 2024. A review of the industrial importance, common bacterial diseases, and zoonotic risks of freshwater aquarium fish. *Vector-Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*, 24(2), pp.69-85.
20. Fackelmann, G., Gillingham, M.A., Schmid, J., Heni, A.C., Wilhelm, K., Schwensow, N. And Sommer, S., 2021. Human encroachment into wildlife gut microbiomes. *Communications Biology*, 4(1), p.800.
21. Freeman, L.A., Corbett, D.R., Fitzgerald, A.M., Lemley, D.A., Quigg, A. And Steppe, C.N., 2019. Impacts of urbanization and development on estuarine ecosystems and water quality. *Estuaries and Coasts*, 42, pp.1821-1838.
22. Godfroid, J., Nymo, I.H., Tryland, M., Cloeckaert, A., Jauniaux, T., Whatmore, A.M., Moreno, E. And Foster, G., 2012. *Brucella ceti* and *Brucella pinnipedialis* infections in marine mammals. *New Directions in Conservation Medicine: Applied Cases of Ecological Health*, Oxford University Press, New York, pp.257-269.
23. González-Espinoza, G., Arce-Gorvel, V., Mémet, S. And Gorvel, J.P., 2021. *Brucella*: reservoirs and niches in animals and humans. *Pathogens*, 10(2), p.186.
24. Gosh, K., Chowdhury, S., Acharjee, D.C., Mamun, A.A. and Ghosh, R., 2022. Assessing the economic impacts of COVID-19 on the aquaculture and fisheries sectors in relation to food security: a critical review. *Sustainability*, 14(14), p.8766.
25. Haenen, O.L., Dong, H.T., Hoai, T.D., Crumlish, M., Karunasagar, I., Barkham, T., Chen, S.L., Zadoks, R., Kiermeier, A., Wang, B. And Gamarro, E.G., 2023. Bacterial diseases of tilapia, their zoonotic potential and risk of antimicrobial resistance. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 15, pp.154-185.
26. Harikrishnan, M., Syanya, F.J., Khanna, A.N., Mumina, P. And Mathia, W.M., 2024. Ecological implications of unintentional aquaculture escapees: an overview of risks, remediation strategies and knowledge gaps in the aquaculture sector of India and riparian East African countries. *Marine & Fishery Sciences (MAFIS)*, 37(4), p.1.
27. Høivold, L.B., Myhr, A.I. and Dalmo, R.A., 2014. Strategies and hurdles using DNA vaccines to fish. *Veterinary research*, 45, pp.1-11.
28. Ibáñez, A., Garrido-Chamorro, S. And Barreiro, C., 2023. Microorganisms and climate change: a not so invisible effect. *Microbiology Research*, 14(3), pp.918-947.
29. Inbaraj, S., Sejian, V. And Ramasamy, S., 2022. Role of environmental stressor-host immune system- pathogen interactions in development of infectious disease in farm animals. *Biological Rhythm Research*, 53(5), pp.707-724.
30. Ishtiaq, F. And Renner, S.C., 2020. Bird migration and vector-borne parasite transmission. *Avian Malaria and Related Parasites in the Tropics: Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, pp.513-526.
31. Kong, X., Ghaffar, S., Determann, M., Friese, K., Jomaa, S., Mi, C., Shatwell, T., Rinke, K. And Rode, M., 2022. Reservoir water quality deterioration due to deforestation emphasizes the indirect effects of global change. *Water research*, 221, p.118721.
32. Koyun, O.Y., Balta, I., Corcionivoschi, N. And Callaway, T.R., 2023. Disease occurrence in-and the transferal of zoonotic agents by North American feedlot cattle. *Foods*, 12(4), p.904.
33. Kumar, A., Middha, S.K., Menon, S.V., Paital, B., Gokarn, S., Nelli, M., Rajanikanth, R.B., Chandra, H.M., Mugunthan, S.P., Kantwa, S.M. and Usha, T., 2024. Current challenges of vaccination in fish health management. *Animals*, 14(18), p.2692.
34. Liu, H. And Jiang, H., 2024. Advances in the Application of Molecular Diagnostic Techniques to Brucellosis. *Biomedical and Environmental Sciences*, 37(7), pp.790-794.
35. Madsen, H., Dung, B.T., The, D.T., Viet, N.K., Dalsgaard, A. And Van, P.T., 2015. The role of rice fields, fish ponds and water canals for transmission of fish-borne zoonotic trematodes in aquaculture ponds in Nam Dinh Province, Vietnam. *Parasites & vectors*, 8, pp.1-11.
36. Madzingira, O., 2021. *Epidemiology and Molecular Confirmation of Brucella Spp. In Cattle in Namibia* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria (South Africa)).
37. Maghsodian, Z., Sanati, A.M., Mashifana, T., Sillanpää, M., Feng, S., Nhat, T. And Ramavandi, B., 2022. Occurrence and distribution of antibiotics in the water, sediment, and biota of freshwater and marine environments: a review. *Antibiotics*, 11(11), p.1461.
38. Masola, S., Bakengesa, I., Chang'a, E. And Guni, F., 2023. A review on brucellosis in Tanzania: Prevalence in livestock, wildlife, and humans from 1962-2021, public awareness and knowledge on the disease, and the way forward towards disease control. *European Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 3(4), pp.6-13.
39. Maurya, M.J. and Vivek, M., 2025. Deforestation: Causes, Consequences and Possible Solutions. *Idealistic Journal of Advanced Research in Progressive Spectrums (IJARPS)* eissn- 2583-6986, 4(01), pp.70-76.
40. Menshaw, A.M., Vicente, A.F., Hegazy, Y.M., Djokic, V., Hamdy, M.E., Freddi, L., Elbauomy, E.M., Sayour, A.E., Ponsart, C. And Abdel-Hamid, N.H., 2025. Animal Brucellosis in Egypt: Review on Evolution, Epidemiological Situation, Prevalent *Brucella* Strains, Genetic Diversity, and Assessment of Implemented National Control Measures. *Microorganisms*, 13(1), p.170.
41. Mondal, H. And Thomas, J., 2022. A review on the recent advances and application of vaccines against fish pathogens in aquaculture. *Aquaculture international*, 30(4), pp.1971-2000.
42. Moreno, E. And Barquero-Calvo, E., 2020. The role of neutrophils in brucellosis. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews*, 84(4), pp.10-1128.
43. Moreno, E., 2021. The one hundred year journey of the genus *Brucella* (Meyer and Shaw 1920). *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 45(1), p.fuaa045.
44. Moreno, E., Blasco, J.M. and Moriyón, I., 2022. Facing the human and animal brucellosis conundrums: the forgotten lessons. *Microorganisms*, 10(5), p.942.
45. Mugwanya, M., Dawood, M.A., Kimera, F. And Sewilam, H., 2022. Anthropogenic temperature fluctuations and their effect on aquaculture: A comprehensive review. *Aquaculture and Fisheries*, 7(3), pp.223-243.
46. Mukaila, R., Ukwuaba, I.C. and Umaru, I.I., 2023. Economic impact of disease on small-scale catfish farms in Nigeria. *Aquaculture*, 575, p.739773.
47. Munang'andu, M., 2024. A meta-analysis on the antibiotic resistance patterns of brucella strains in humans (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Zambia).
48. Mzula, A., Wambura, P.N., Mdegela, R.H. and Shirima, G.M., 2021. Present status of aquaculture and the challenge of bacterial diseases in freshwater farmed fish in Tanzania; A call for sustainable strategies. *Aquaculture and Fisheries*, 6(3), pp.247-253.
49. Negi, S., 2024. *DEVELOPMENT AND ASSESSMENT OF AN AI ENABLED CHATBOT BASED LEARNING SYSTEM AS A KNOWLEDGE AID FOR SWINE MANAGEMENT* (Doctoral dissertation, Indian Veterinary Research Institute).
50. O'Connell, A.K. and Douam, F., 2020. Humanized mice for live-attenuated vaccine research: From unmet potential to new promises. *Vaccines*, 8(1), p.36.
51. Ochieng, G.O., 2023. Evaluation of the environmental health risks of abattoir wastes on receiving water sources.
52. Ovuru, K.F., Izah, S.C., Ogidi, O.I., Imariagbe, O. And Ogwu, M.C., 2024. Slaughterhouse facilities in developing nations: Sanitation and hygiene practices, microbial contaminants and sustainable management system. *Food Science and Biotechnology*, 33(3), pp.519-537.
53. Padmakar, D.R., 2019. *Pathological studies of fish diseases in and around Mumbai with special reference to (doctoral dissertation, maharashtra animal and fishery sciences university)*.

55. Pal, M., Kerorsa, G.B., Desalegn, C. And Kandi, V., 2020. Human and animal brucellosis: a comprehensive review of biology, pathogenesis, epidemiology, risk factors, clinical signs, laboratory diagnosis. *Am J Infect Dis*, 8(4), pp.118-26.
56. Paruch, L. And Paruch, A.M., 2022. An overview of microbial source tracking using host-specific genetic markers to identify origins of fecal contamination in different water environments. *Water*, 14(11), p.1809.
57. Pinto J, C., Keestra, S., Tandon, P. And Chandler, C.I., 2020. WASH and biosecurity interventions for reducing burdens of infection, antibiotic use and antimicrobial resistance in animal agricultural settings: a One Health mixed methods systematic review.
58. Polley, S., Biswas, S., Kesh, S.S., Maity, A. And Batabyal, S., 2022. The link between animal manure and zoonotic disease. In *Animal Manure: Agricultural and Biotechnological Applications* (pp. 297-333). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
59. Qiangsheng, F., Xiaoqin, H., Tong, L., Wenyun, G. And Yuejuan, S., 2023. Brucella cultures characteristics, clinical characteristics, and infection biomarkers of human Brucellosis. *Journal of Infection and Public Health*, 16(3), pp.303-309.
60. Qureshi, K.A., Parvez, A., Fahmy, N.A., Abdel Hady, B.H., Kumar, S., Ganguly, A., Atiya, A., Elhassan, G.O., Alfadly, S.O., Parkkila, S. And Aspatwar, A., 2023. Brucellosis: epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment—a comprehensive review. *Annals of medicine*, 55(2), p.2295398.
61. Rahman, M.T., Sobur, M.A., Islam, M.S., Levy, S., Hossain, M.J., El Zowlaty, M.E., Rahman, A.T. and Ashour, H.M., 2020. Zoonotic diseases: etiology, impact, and control. *Microorganisms*, 8(9), p.1405.
62. Rathor, G.S. and Swain, B., 2024. Advancements in Fish Vaccination: Current Innovations and Future Horizons in Aquaculture Health Management. *Applied Sciences*, 14(13), p.5672.
63. Ren, J. And Peng, Q., 2021. A brief review of diagnosis of small ruminants brucellosis. *Current Medicinal Chemistry*, 28(22), pp.4569-4576.
64. Rothschild, B.M., Surmik, D. And Bertozzo, F., 2023. Infectious Disease. In *Modern Paleopathology, The Study of Diagnostic Approach to Ancient Diseases, their Pathology and Epidemiology: Let there be light, the light of science and critical thinking* (pp. 309-404). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
65. Rub, M.W., Cosgrove, C., Theuerkauf, S., Wiecezorek, D., Whaley, J., Otoshi, C. And Rust, M., 2024. Climate-Smart American Aquaculture: Strategies to Sustain and Grow US Domestic Seafood Production in a Changing Future.
66. Saba, S.A.B.A., Furqan, A.L.I., KANWAL, M., WAHEED, K. And HUSSAIN, A., 2024. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS ON ANIMAL HUSBANDRY. ANIMAL PRODUCTION and HEALTH, p.169.
67. Saha, S., Pradhan, D. And Dash, G., 2023. Studies on Diversity of Bacterial Diseases and Occupational Risks through Mudcrab Aquaculture in West Bengal. *Journal of Pure & Applied Microbiology*, 17(2).
68. Samsing, F. And Barnes, A.C., 2024. The rise of the opportunists: What are the drivers of the increase in infectious diseases caused by environmental and commensal bacteria?. *Reviews in Aquaculture*, 16(4), pp.1787-1797.
69. Sanches-Fernandes, G.M., Sá-Correia, I. And Costa, R., 2022. Vibriosis outbreaks in aquaculture: addressing environmental and public health concerns and preventive therapies using gilthead seabream farming as a model system. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 13, p.904815.
70. Sattar, M.A., Ijaz, M., Mahmood, M., Yar, M.K., Ashraf, J., Younas, M.A., Ilyas, S. And Ullah, S., 2023. Diagnosis and Identification of Zoonotic Diseases Associated with Cattle at Abattoirs: Current Trends and Future Prospectus. In *Cattle Diseases-Molecular and Biochemical Approach*. Intechopen.
71. Shaheen, M.N., 2022. The concept of one health applied to the problem of zoonotic diseases. *Reviews in medical virology*, 32(4), p.e2326.
72. Shi, J.F., Gong, Q.L., Zhao, B., Ma, B.Y., Chen, Z.Y., Yang, Y., Sun, Y.H., Wang, Q., Leng, X., Zong,
73. Y. And Li, J.M., 2021. Seroprevalence of brucellosis in buffalo worldwide and associated risk factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8, p.649252.
74. Shields, M.M., 2025. Hosts, Parasites, and Pathogens: An Investigation into the Nuanced Relationship of Brucellosis and Respiratory Metazoan Parasitism in Small Cetaceans off the California Coast (Master's thesis, The Ohio State University).
75. Simpson, G., Thompson, P.N., Saegerman, C., Marcotty, T., Letesson, J.J., De Bolle, X. And Godfroid, J., 2021. Brucellosis in wildlife in Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), p.5960.
76. Sivakumar, S., Punniyakotti, P., Kavitha, K. and Saravanan, P., 2023. Concepts and Types of Vaccines.
77. In *Fish Vaccines* (pp. 49-63). CRC Press.
78. Subasinghe, R., Alday-Sanz, V., Bondad-Reantaso, M.G., Jie, H., Shinn, A.P. and Sorgeloos, P., 2023. Biosecurity: Reducing the burden of disease. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 54(2), pp.397-426.
79. Thendji, M.L., 2023. Risk of human exposure to brucella pathogens through consumption of cultured milk in the southern province of Zambia (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Zambia).
80. Thilsted, S.H., James, D., Toppe, J., Subasinghe, R.P. and Karunasagar, I., 2014. Maximizing the contribution of fish to human nutrition.
81. Tryland, M., Larsen, A.K. and Nymo, I.H., 2018. Bacterial infections and diseases. In *CRC Handbook of marine mammal medicine* (pp. 367-388). CRC Press.
82. Uluçay, O., 2023. Microorganisms and Diversity of Bacteria. *Research on Mathematics and Science*.
83. Özgür Publications.
84. Vargas-Castro, I., Crespo-Picazo, J.L., Fayos, M., Jiménez-Martínez, M.D.L.Á., Torre-Fuentes, L., Álvarez, J., Moura, A.E., Hernández, M., Buendía, A., Barroso-Arévalo, S. And García-Seco, T., 2023. New insights into the pathogenesis and transmission of *Brucella pinnipedialis*: systemic infection in two bottlenose dolphins (*Tursiops truncatus*). *Microbiology spectrum*, 11(6), pp.e01997-23.
85. Waldrop, S.G., 2020. Rough leucine auxotrophic strains of *Brucella* expressing *Salmonella* flagellin C conjugated gonadotropins, an immunocontraceptive brucellosis vaccine for feral swine population control.
86. Wang, Q., Fu, W., Lu, R., Pan, C., Yi, G., Zhang, X. And Rao, Z., 2022. Characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* Ab03 for efficient ammonia nitrogen removal. *Systems Microbiology and Biomanufacturing*, 2(3), pp.580-588.
87. Yadav, M.K., Yadav, N.K., Chauhan, R. And Khati, A., 2023. Chapter-3 Integrated Aquaculture Systems: A Sustainable Farming Approach. *Research Trends in Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 14, pp.37-60.
88. Yadav, N. And Upadhyay, R.K., 2023. Global effect of climate change on seasonal cycles, vector population and rising challenges of communicable diseases: a review. *Journal of Atmospheric Science Research*, 6(1).
89. Yuan, T. And Pian, Y., 2023. Hospital wastewater as hotspots for pathogenic microorganisms spread into aquatic environment: A review. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10, p.1091734.
90. Zhang, T., Nickerson, R., Zhang, W., Peng, X., Shang, Y., Zhou, Y., Luo, Q., Wen, G. And Cheng, Z., 2024. The impacts of animal agriculture on One Health—Bacterial zoonosis, antimicrobial resistance, and beyond. *One Health*, p.100748.
91. Ziarati, M., Zorriehzahra, M.J., Hassantabar, F., Mehrabi, Z., Dhawan, M., Sharun, K., Emran, T.B., Dhama, K., Chaicumpa, W. And Shamsi, S., 2022. Zoonotic diseases of fish and their prevention and control. *Veterinary Quarterly*, 42(1), pp.95-118.