The Prevalence of Undiagnosed Depression in Patients with Spinal Pathology
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Abstract

Study Design: Cross-sectional study

Objectives: Depression is a comorbid condition with significant effects on patients with spinal pathology, and is often undiagnosed. The objective was to define the prevalence of undiagnosed depression in our population of patients with neck or back pain using a standard screening tool.

Methods: Patients presenting to a neurosurgery clinic at our institution for initial evaluation of neck or back pain were screened for depression with the revised Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale.

Results: Of the 76 patients who completed screening, 20 patients (26.3%) had scores that were suspicious for a diagnosis of depression, and the suspicion was newly discovered for all 20 patients (26.3%). There were 20 patients (26.3%) with scores that were consistent with a diagnosis of depression, and the diagnosis was newly discovered for 17 patients (22.4%). Thus, a total of 37 patients (48.7%) had a suspicion for or diagnosis of depression that was previously undiagnosed.

Conclusion: Depression in patients with spinal pathology frequently goes undiagnosed, as demonstrated by the results of our cross sectional study. This contributes to the mounting evidence for the regular screening and treatment of depression in patients with spinal pathology.
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Materials and Methods

Study population

Over a one month period, adult patients presenting to a neurosurgery outpatient clinic at our institution for initial evaluation of neck or back pain were screened for depression using the revised Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression form (CES-D), a well-established tool for the initial diagnosis of depression (Figure 1) [8,9]. The CES-D has been utilized across a variety of clinical and research settings [10-12]. The patient population consisted of patients with a history of neck pain, back pain, and/or extremity symptoms that had been referred to neurosurgery clinic for further evaluation. The referring physician had obtained spinal imaging which was concerning for pathological findings that were thought to be associated with the patient’s symptoms. We refer to these patients as neck/back pain patients. After obtaining approval from the University of Alabama Birmingham Institutional Review Board (IRB-150526002), a retrospective review of the results of the screening was undertaken. Participants were not required to provide informed consent.

Data collection

The CES-D was given to a representative sampling of patients presenting to neurosurgery clinics for evaluation of neck/back pain over a four-week period. Completion of the form was voluntary.
study author manually calculated the total score for each subject and recorded the answers to the final three questions to assess whether subjects carried a prior diagnosis of major depressive disorder. Per accepted interpretation of the CES-D [13], scores less than 10 were interpreted as not indicating depression, scores ranging from 10-15 were interpreted as suggestive of depression and scores greater than or equal to 16 were interpreted as diagnostic of depression.

Results

There were 76 patients newly assessed for neck/back pain in neurosurgical clinics that completed the CES-D screening tool during the study period. There were 20 patients (26.3%) who scored 10-15 on the CES-D, indicating a suspicion for depression. Another 20 patients (26.3%) scored 16 or above on the CES-D, indicating a diagnosis of depression (Figure 2). Thus, a total of 40 patients (52.6%) had a suspicion for or diagnosis of depression.

Of the 20 patients who scored in the 10-15 range, all 20 (26.3%) had no prior diagnosis of depression. Of the 20 subjects who scored 16 or greater on the CES-D, 17 (22.4%) had no prior diagnosis of depression. Thus, a total of 37 patients (48.7%) had a new suspicion for or diagnosis of depression (Figure 3).

Of the 76 patients who completed the screening tool, 19 stated that they have a prior diagnosis of a mood disorder, and 5 of those 19 patients stated that the mood disorder is major depressive disorder. Three of the 19 patients scored 16 or greater, and the other 16 patients scored 0-9. Of the 19 patients who stated that they have a mood disorder, 13 patients (68%) were receiving treatment. Of the 5 patients who stated they have a prior diagnosis of depression, 4 (80%) were receiving treatment.

Discussion

A common goal amongst surgeons treating neck/back pain is the optimization of outcomes after surgery. Improvements in surgical technique and perioperative care are obviously important for the optimization of outcomes, but attention to patient comorbidities is also deserved. However, in order for the modification of a patient’s comorbidity, such as depression, to be worth the expense of time and resources, a few conditions must be met.

First, it must be demonstrated that a significant percentage of patients with neck/back pain actually carry a diagnosis of depression.
Furthermore, it must be clear that depression is associated with worse symptoms and worse outcomes after treatment. We already know that depression is a common condition affecting nearly 7% of the general population at any point in time [1] and that it is a leading cause of lost productivity and wages [2]. Studies have also shown that depression is a significant comorbidity in patient populations suffering from a variety of physical diseases, including diabetes mellitus, HIV/AIDS, cardiothoracic pathology, liver disease, and epilepsy [14-18]. Our disease of interest was neck/back pain, and thus the purpose of our study was to clarify the prevalence of depression among patients with neck/back pain, and more specifically, the prevalence of undiagnosed depression. Previous studies have used a variety of screening tools to look for evidence of depression in patients with neck/back pain, with a prevalence ranging from 21% to 56% [3,4]. Our results are similar, indicating a much higher prevalence of depression (26.3%) in patients presenting for evaluation of neck/back pain as compared to the general population, with another 26.3% having a suspicion of depression. Furthermore, by using the revised CES-D, we were able to elucidate the prevalence specifically of undiagnosed depression, which has not previously been examined in spine patients. We found that of all patients who scored in the range indicative of a diagnosis of depression, the overwhelming majority (80%) of those who already carried a diagnosis of treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. 

There must be a reasonable method of screening for and treating depression. The CES-D is a self-report scale that was developed for use in epidemiological studies of depressive symptomatology in the general population [13]. We know that screening for depression with the CES-D is straightforward and the interpretation of this screening tool is well established [23]. A revised CES-D was created in 2004 in order to better reflect the nine primary symptoms of a major depressive episode as defined by the DSM-IV [8]. The revised version has also proven to be a valid measure of depression [9]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24]. In our experience, the revised CES-D was easily completed by the patients and easily interpreted by the study authors. Furthermore, the low cost of administration is outweighed by the benefit of depression treatment. We know that depression is treatable and the effect of treatment on overall quality of life is positive and clear [24].
of depression, it must be shown that adequate treatment results in improved outcomes after surgery for spinal pathology. By elucidating the prevalence of undiagnosed depression in our spine patient population, this study is serving as a pilot study for future investigations into the role depression plays in patients with spinal pathology, and how the treatment of depression affects their outcomes.

Given that depression has been shown to be more common in patients with neck/back pain and often goes undiagnosed, has been demonstrated to have a negative effect on outcomes, has a screening tool that is easily implemented and interpreted, and is known to be amenable to multi-modality therapy; it stands to reason that screening for depression in spine patients and treating newly diagnosed depression will improve overall quality of life as well as outcomes following spine surgery.

Conclusion
Depression is a commonly recognized co-morbid condition in patients with neck/back pain. The objective of this cross-sectional study was to define the prevalence of undiagnosed depression in our spine patients. The results indicate that the true prevalence of depression in patients with neck/back pain is higher than in the general population. For a significant portion of the patients, the depression has previously been undiagnosed. Screening for depression is relatively simple and should be carried out to identify patients with undiagnosed depression, as this co-morbid condition can negatively impact outcomes from intervention aimed at addressing neck/back pain.
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